

**MMWR Dispatch**

January 24, 2003 / 52(Dispatch);1-29

Smallpox Vaccination and Adverse Reaction

Guidance for Clinicians

Prepared by

Joanne Cono, M.D.¹Christine G. Casey, M.D.²David M. Bell, M.D.³

¹*Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Program
National Center for Infectious Diseases*

²*Epidemiology and Surveillance Division
National Immunization Program*

³*Office of the Director
National Center for Infectious Diseases*

The material in this report originated in the National Center for Infectious Diseases, James M. Hughes, M.D., Director, and the Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Program, Charles Schable, M.S., Acting Director; and the National Immunization Program, Walter A. Orenstein, M.D., Director, and the Epidemiology and Surveillance Division, Melinda Wharton, M.D., Director.

Summary

The guidance in this report is for evaluation and treatment of patients with complications from smallpox vaccination in the preoutbreak setting. Information is also included related to reporting adverse events seeking specialized consultation and therapies for these events. The frequencies of smallpox vaccine-associated adverse events were identified in studies of the 1960s. Because of the unknown prevalence of risk factors in today's population, precise predictions of adverse reaction rates after smallpox vaccination are unavailable. The majority of adverse events are minor, but the less-frequent serious adverse reactions require immediate evaluation for diagnosis and treatment. Agents for treatment of certain vaccine-associated severe adverse reactions are vaccinia immune globulin (VIG), the first-line therapy, and cidofovir, the second-line therapy. Both agents will be available under Investigational New Drug (IND) protocols from CDC and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD).

Smallpox vaccination in the preoutbreak setting is contraindicated for persons who have the following or have a close contact with the following conditions: 1) a history of atopic dermatitis (commonly referred to as eczema), irrespective of disease severity or activity; 2) active acute, chronic, or exfoliative skin conditions.

disrupt the epidermis; 3) pregnant women or women who desire to become pregnant in the 28 days after vaccination; and 4) persons who are immunocompromised as a result of human immunodeficiency virus, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, autoimmune conditions, cancer, radiation treatment, immunosuppressive medications, or other immunodeficiencies. Additional contraindications that apply only to vaccination but do not include their close contacts are persons with smallpox vaccine-component allergies, women who are breastfeeding, those taking topical ocular steroid medications, those with moderate-to-severe intercurrent disease, and persons aged <18 years. In addition, history of Darier disease is a contraindication in a potential vaccinee and a contraindication if a household contact has active disease. In the event of a smallpox outbreak, specific guidance will be disseminated by CDC regarding populations to be vaccinated and specific contraindications to vaccination.

Vaccinia can be transmitted from a vaccinee's unhealed vaccination site to other persons by close contact and can lead to the same adverse events as in the vaccinee. To avoid transmission of vaccinia virus (found in the vaccine) from vaccinees to their close contacts, vaccinees should wash their hands with warm soapy water and use hand rubs containing $\geq 60\%$ alcohol immediately after they touch their vaccination site or change their vaccination site bandages. Used bandages should be placed in sealed plastic bags and can be disposed of in household trash.

Smallpox vaccine adverse reactions are diagnosed on the basis of clinical examination and history, and reactions can be managed by observation and supportive care. Adverse reactions that are usually self-limited include fever, headache, fatigue, myalgia, chills, local skin reactions, nonspecific rashes, erythema multiforme, lymphadenopathy, and pain at the vaccination site. Other reactions are most often diagnosed through clinical history and physical and might require additional therapies (e.g., VIG, a first-line therapy and cidofovir as an alternative line therapy). Adverse reactions that might require further evaluation or therapy include inadvertent inoculation, generalized vaccinia (GV), eczema vaccinatum (EV), progressive vaccinia (PV), postvaccinial central nervous system disease, and fetal vaccinia.

Inadvertent inoculation occurs when vaccinia virus is transferred from a vaccination site to a second location on the vaccinee or to a close contact. Usually, this condition is self-limited and no additional care is needed. Inoculations of the eye and eyelid require evaluation by an ophthalmologist and might require therapy with topical antiviral or antibacterial medications, VIG, or topical steroids.

GV is characterized by a disseminated maculopapular or vesicular rash, frequently on an erythematous background, which usually occurs 6--9 days after first-time vaccination. This condition is usually self-limited and benign, although treatment with VIG might be required when the patient is systemically ill or found to have an underlying immunocompromising condition. Infection-control precautions should be used to prevent secondary transmission and nosocomial infection.

EV occurs among persons with a history of atopic dermatitis (eczema), irrespective of disease severity, and is a localized or generalized papular, vesicular, or pustular rash, which can occur anywhere on the body with a predilection for areas of previous atopic dermatitis lesions. Patients with EV are often systemically ill and usually require VIG. Infection-control precautions should be used to prevent secondary transmission and nosocomial infection.

PV is a rare, severe, and often fatal complication among persons with immunodeficiencies, characterized by painless progressive necrosis at the vaccination site with or without metastases to distant sites (e.g., skin and other viscera). This disease carries a high mortality rate, and management of PV should include aggressive therapy with VIG, intensive monitoring, and tertiary-level supportive care. Anecdotal experience suggests

despite treatment with VIG, persons with cell-mediated immune deficits have a poorer prognosis than those with humoral deficits. Infection-control precautions should be used to prevent secondary transmission and reinfection.

Central nervous system disease, which includes postvaccinial encephalopathy (PVE) and postvaccinial encephalomyelitis (or encephalitis) (PVEM), occur after smallpox vaccination. PVE is most common in infants aged <12 months. Clinical symptoms of central nervous system disease indicate cerebral or cerebellar dysfunction with headache, fever, vomiting, altered mental status, lethargy, seizures, and coma. PVE and PVEM are not believed to be a result of replicating vaccinia virus and are diagnoses of exclusion. Although no therapy exists for PVE or PVEM, supportive care, anticonvulsants, and intensive care might be required.

Fetal vaccinia, resulting from vaccinia transmission from mother to fetus, is a rare, but serious, complication of smallpox vaccination during pregnancy or shortly before conception. It is manifested by skin lesions and fetal involvement, and often results in fetal or neonatal death. No known reliable intrauterine diagnostic test is available to confirm fetal infection. Given the rarity of congenital vaccinia among live-born infants, vaccination during pregnancy should not ordinarily be a reason to consider termination of pregnancy. No known indication exists for routine, prophylactic use of VIG in an unintentionally vaccinated pregnant woman; however, VIG should not be withheld if a pregnant woman develops a condition where VIG is needed.

Other less-common adverse events after smallpox vaccination have been reported to occur in temporal association with smallpox vaccination, but causality has not been established. Prophylactic treatment is not recommended for persons or close contacts with contraindications to smallpox vaccination who are inadvertently inoculated or exposed. These persons should be followed closely for early recognition of adverse reactions that might develop, and clinicians are encouraged to enroll these persons in the CDC registry at the Clinician Information Line at 877-554-4625.

To request clinical consultation and IND therapies for vaccinia-related adverse reactions for civilians, contact your state health department or CDC's Clinician Information Line (877-554-4625). Clinical evaluation is available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccination/clineval>. Clinical specimen-collection guidance is available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccination/vaccinia-specimen-collection.asp>. Physicians at military medical facilities can request VIG or cidofovir by calling the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) at 301-619-2257 or 888-USA-RIID.

Introduction

Smallpox vaccine is made from live vaccinia virus and protects against the disease smallpox. It does not contain the causative agent of smallpox (*V. variola*). Because viral replication and shedding occurs at the vaccination site (2--5 days postvaccination), unintended transmission is possible from the time immediately after vaccination until the virus separates from the skin (approximately 2--3 weeks) (2). Although virus exists in the scab, it is bound in the fibrin matrix, and the scab is not believed to be highly infectious. Viral shedding might be of shorter duration among children (2,3). During the smallpox eradication era, transmission usually required close interaction and occurred most often at home (4) (see Transmission of Vaccinia Virus; see Preventing Contact Transmission).

Worldwide, different vaccinia strains have been used for production of smallpox vaccine, but all U.S. vaccine formulations contain the New York City Board of Health (NYCBOH) vaccinia strain. This strain has been reported to be less reactogenic (i.e., it causes fewer adverse events) than other strains (1). U.S. National Pharmaceutical Stockpile stores of smallpox vaccine include two previously manufactured calf-lymph--derived vaccines, Dryvax® (Wyeth)

Laboratories Inc., Marietta, Pennsylvania), and Aventis Pasteur vaccine (Swiftwater, Pennsylvania); and two newly developed vaccines from Acambis/Baxter Pharmaceuticals (Cambridge, Massachusetts), ACAM1000, which is grown in human embryonic lung cell culture (MRC-5), and ACAM2000, which is grown in African green monkey cells (CDC Drug Services, unpublished data, 2002). Prospective studies are under way to determine the reactogenicity of the newer cell culture vaccines. Dryvax is the vaccine used in the current U.S. smallpox vaccination effort. CDC has other vaccines in reserve (5).

Smallpox vaccination in the preoutbreak setting is contraindicated for persons who have the following conditions: 1) a history of atopic dermatitis (commonly referred to as eczema), of disease severity or activity; 2) active acute, chronic, or exfoliative skin conditions that disrupt the epidermis; 3) women or women who desire to become pregnant in the 28 days after vaccination; and 4) persons who are immunocompromised as a result of human immunodeficiency virus or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, autoimmune conditions, cancer, radiation treatment, immunosuppressive medications, or other immunodeficiencies. Additional contraindications that apply only to vaccination candidates but do not include their close contacts are persons with smallpox vaccine-component allergies, women who are breastfeeding, those taking topical ocular steroid medications, those with moderate-to-severe intercurrent illness, and persons aged <18 years. In addition, history of Darier's disease is a contraindication in a potential vaccinee and a contraindication if a household contact has active disease. In the event of a smallpox outbreak, outbreak-specific guidance will be disseminated by CDC regarding populations to be vaccinated and specific contraindications to vaccination.

Normal Vaccination Progression

Smallpox vaccine is administered by using the multiple-puncture technique with a bifurcated needle (6). The vaccine replicates in the dermis of the skin; 3--5 days later, a papule forms at the vaccination site of immunocompetent naïve persons (also referred to as first-time or primary vaccinees) (1). The papule becomes vesicular (approximately 5--8 mm), then pustular, and usually enlarges to reach maximum size in 8--10 days. The pustule dries from the center and forms a scab that separates 14--21 days after vaccination, usually leaving a pitted scar (Figures 1--3).

Formation by days 6--8 postvaccination of a papule, vesicle, ulcer, or crusted lesion, surrounded by an area of erythema, signifies a response to vaccination; this event is referred to as a major reaction or a take, and usually results in a vaccination scar. During the smallpox eradication era, persons with vaccination scars had much lower attack rates when exposed to smallpox cases than did nonvaccinated persons. Therefore, a take has been a surrogate correlate of immunity to smallpox. Although the level of antibody that protects against smallpox infection is unknown, >95% of first-time vaccinees (persons receiving their first dose of smallpox vaccine) have increased neutralizing or hemagglutination inhibition titers (7).

Interpreting Vaccination Results

Vaccination-site reactions are classified into two categories: major reactions and equivocal reactions (1). A major reaction indicates a successful vaccine take and is characterized by a pustular lesion or an area of definite induration or erythema surrounding a central lesion, which can be a scab or an ulcer. All other responses are equivocal reactions and are considered nontakes. Equivocal reactions can be caused by suboptimal vaccination technique, use of subpotent vaccine, or waning vaccinal immunity among previously vaccinated persons. Persons with equivocal reactions cannot be presumed immune to smallpox, and revaccination is recommended (Figures 4 and 5).

The World Health Organization (WHO) has recommended that response to vaccination be evaluated on postvaccination day 6, 7, or 8 (1). These are the days of peak viral replication, and the period during which take should be assessed.

both first-time vaccinees and revaccinees. If the response to vaccination is evaluated too early (e.g., <6 days postvaccination), certain equivocal responses will look reactive because of dermal hypersensitivity to vaccinia. These reactions are sometimes referred to as immediate reactions but are not successful takes. If the response to vaccination is evaluated too late (e.g., >8 days postvaccination), the vaccination take might be missed among persons with prior immunity to vaccinia who might experience a more rapid progression of the vaccination site. Responses at revaccination that resolve in <6 days are sometimes referred to as accelerated reactions and are not successful takes.

Expected Range of Vaccine Reactions

A range of expected reactions occurs after vaccination. These normal reactions do not require specific treatment and include fatigue, headache, myalgia, regional lymphadenopathy, lymphangitis, pruritis, and edema at the vaccination site as well as satellite lesions, which are benign, secondary vaccinia lesions proximal to the central vaccination lesions (Wyeth Laboratories. Dryvax [package insert]. Marietta, PA: Wyeth Laboratories, 1994).

Historically, 21% of reactions associated with first-time vaccination caused the vaccinee to consult a physician. In a recent vaccination trial was conducted among 680 adults, all of whom were first-time vaccinees (10). During the first week after vaccination, all reported having ≥ 1 of the following symptoms at some point: fatigue (50%), headache (40%), aches and chills (20%), nausea (20%), and fever, defined as a temperature $\geq 37.7^{\circ}\text{C}$ or 100°F (10%). Symptom severity was not reported. The majority of local symptoms were reported during the second week after vaccination and included pain at the vaccination site (86%), and regional lymphadenopathy (54%). Approximately one third of vaccinees were sufficiently ill to have trouble sleeping or to miss school, work, or recreational activities. Similar findings are reported in the CDC Smallpox Diary Card Database, a reporting system of postvaccination symptoms among 633 vaccinees who received smallpox vaccine during 2001--2002 (CDC, unpublished data, 2001--2002). In this series, postvaccination days 3--7 were the days when the majority of vaccinees (78%) reported their symptoms. In both series, symptoms were self-limited and required only symptomatic care.

During the smallpox eradication era, fever after vaccination occurred frequently but was less common among children (CDC, unpublished data). For adults, fever is more frequently noted among first-time vaccinees than among revaccinees (NIH, unpublished data, 2003). In one vaccination series involving children, approximately 70% experienced temperatures $>100^{\circ}\text{F}$ during the 4--14 days after primary vaccination (7), and 15%--20% of children experienced temperatures $>102^{\circ}\text{F}$. After revaccination, 35% of children experienced temperatures $>100^{\circ}\text{F}$, and 5% experienced temperatures of $>102^{\circ}\text{F}$ (11).

Satellite lesions occasionally occur at the perimeter of the vaccination site and should not be confused with the discrete vesicles that might coalesce into a central pox-like lesion. Satellite lesions are a benign finding, do not require treatment, and should be cared for as vaccination sites. ([Figure 6](#)).

Large Vaccination Reactions and Robust Takes

Large vaccination reactions (i.e., >10 cm in diameter) at the site of inoculation occur in approximately 10% of first-time vaccinees and are expected variants of the typical evolution of the vaccination site (10). However, sometimes large vaccination reactions have been reported as adverse events and misinterpreted as cellulitis, requiring antibiotic treatment. In the 1968 national surveillance of the United States for smallpox vaccine complications, 13 of 572 adverse events were for unusually large and painful robust takes (RTs) (9,12) ([Figures 7](#) and [8](#)).

Bacterial infection of the vaccination site is uncommon but affects children more often than adults, because children are more likely to touch and contaminate their vaccination sites. In a 1963 U.S. national survey, 433 complications

reported among 14 million smallpox vaccinees; of these, two were secondary bacterial infections of the vaccinee (13). One case resolved without sequelae, whereas the other resulted in a nonfatal case of acute streptococcal glomerulonephritis. Other reports describe the occurrence of bacterial infection at the vaccination site, but do not provide details regarding the causative organisms (9,12). Specimens for bacterial cultures can be obtained by using swab aspiration. Gram stains can detect normal skin flora and are useful only when unusual pathogens are present. If antibacterial therapy is administered, therapy should be adjusted after the bacterial pathogen and its sensitivities to antibacterial medications are known.

Identifying RTs

Differentiating an RT from bacterial cellulitis can be difficult. RTs occur 8--10 days postvaccination, improve within 24--72 hours of peak of symptoms, and do not progress clinically. Fluctuant enlarged lymph nodes are not expected and require further evaluation and treatment. In contrast, secondary bacterial infections typically occur within 5 days of vaccination or >30 days postvaccination, and unless treated, the infection will progress (14--16). The interval of onset to peak is the key factor in diagnosing RTs. Fever is not helpful in distinguishing RTs from bacterial cellulitis because it is an expected immunologic response to vaccinia vaccination.

When an RT is suspected, management includes vigilant observation, patient education, and supportive care throughout the rest of the affected limb, use of oral nonaspirin analgesic medications, as well as oral antipruritic agents. Salves and ointments, including topical steroids or antibacterial medications, should not be applied to the vaccination site.

During 2001, CDC staff vaccinated 191 federal public health smallpox response team members; 9 vaccinees (10%) met the case definition for an RT, with an area of redness >7.5 cm with swelling, warmth, and pain at the vaccination site (unpublished data, 2002). Six vaccinees with RTs were treated for suspected bacterial cellulitis. Three affected vaccinees did not seek medical care and, therefore, did not receive antibiotic therapy. All affected vaccinees reported peak symptoms 8--10 days after vaccination and improvement of symptoms within 24--72 hours whether they were or were not given antibacterial medications. Cases did not cluster by age, sex, vaccination status, or vaccine lot number.

To estimate an estimated rate of RTs, CDC staff conducted a limited survey and determined rates of 2% (2 of 100 persons) and 16% (13 of 80) (CDC, unpublished data, 2001). The different rates between clinics might be caused by different methods of case ascertainment. However, both clinics reported that irrespective of antibiotic therapy, symptoms peaked on postvaccination day 8--10, and improved within 24--72 hours. Antibacterial medications did not shorten the duration or lessen the severity of symptoms.

Transmission of Vaccinia Virus

Vaccinia can be transmitted from a vaccinee's unhealed vaccination site to other persons by close contact and results in the same adverse events as in the vaccinee. Cases arising from contact transmission have resulted in either eczema vaccinatum (EV) or inadvertent inoculation, and these cases occurred approximately 5--19 days after exposure to the index case (17). In addition, two cases have been reported of contact transmission, which resulted in fetal vaccinia (18,19) (see Fetal Vaccinia).

No data exist to indicate that vaccinia transmission occurs by aerosolization (17). Although one study reported recovery of the vaccinia virus from the oropharynx of children receiving other vaccine strains (20), droplet infection has been epidemiologically implicated in transmission of vaccinia. In one unpublished study in the 1960s (J. Michael M.D., formerly Director, Smallpox Eradication Program, Communicable Disease Center, personal communication), researchers were unable to recover the NYCBOH vaccinia strain from the nasal swabs of healthy vaccinees. T

of contact vaccinia and the link to direct physical contact indicate that aerosol transmission does not occur. The transmission of contact vaccinia in the 1960s occurred in the range of 2--6/100,000 first-time vaccinations (4); control precautions should be taken to reduce this likelihood (21).

Preventing Contact Transmission

Correct hand hygiene prevents the majority of inadvertent inoculations and contact transmissions after changing or other contact with the vaccination site (21). The vaccination site can be left uncovered or covered with a po bandage (e.g., gauze) (6).

Preventing Contact Transmission Among Health-Care Workers

To prevent nosocomial transmission of vaccinia virus, health-care workers when involved in direct patient care keep their vaccination sites covered with gauze or a similar material to absorb exudates that contain vaccinia. T should be covered with a semipermeable dressing to provide a barrier to vaccinia virus. Using a semipermeable alone is not recommended because it might cause maceration of the vaccination site and prolong irritation and i which subsequently leads to increased touching, scratching, and contamination of hands. If maceration of the va site occurs, the lesion should be left open to air to allow the vaccination site to dry during a period that includes contact with patients or other persons. The vaccination site should be covered during direct patient care until th separates (21). Administrative leave should be considered for health-care workers who are unable to adhere to recommended infection-control measures, which require that vaccination sites be covered during patient care d

Preventing Contact Transmission in Other Settings

Transmission of vaccinia is also possible in other settings when close personal contact with children or other pe occurs. In these situations, the vaccination site should be covered with gauze or a similar absorbent material, an sleeved clothing should be worn. Careful attention should be paid to handwashing (21), which should be done warm water or hand-rub solutions that are $\geq 60\%$ alcohol-based. Historically, the home was the setting where t of contact transmission occurred (4), presumably because of intimate contact and relaxed infection-control me

Recognizing Vaccinia Virus Transmission

When evaluating a skin or other condition consistent with vaccinia, a history of smallpox vaccination and expos household or close contact who has been vaccinated recently will often provide a source of the virus. A history exposure to vaccinia might be difficult to obtain. A person might have had an inadvertent exposure and be unav being exposed to vaccinia virus, and rarely, persons have been deliberately inoculated by others as a way to va outside the approved vaccination programs (and possibly unwilling to acknowledge this exposure to vaccinia). case, clinicians should obtain a thorough medical history, including possible vaccinia exposure and risk factors f vaccine-related adverse reactions. Clinicians should counsel these patients regarding appropriate infection-cont measures, care of their lesions, and when appropriate, the infectious risks incurred through deliberate inoculatio Follow-up of the patient and administration of appropriate treatment are critical if a vaccinia-related adverse re develops. In addition, these patients might be at increased risk for infection from bloodborne pathogens, and th be counseled and treated appropriately.

Adverse Reactions*

Adverse reactions caused by smallpox vaccination range from mild and self-limited to severe and life-threatening

(9,12,13,22,23). Certain smallpox vaccine reactions are similar to those caused by other vaccines (e.g., high fever, anaphylaxis, and erythema multiforme [EM]). Other adverse reactions specific to smallpox vaccination include inoculation, ocular vaccinia, generalized vaccinia (GV), EV, progressive vaccinia (PV), postvaccinial encephalitis (PVE) and encephalomyelitis (PVEM), and fetal vaccinia. Vaccinia-specific complications can occur among vaccinees and their contacts who have been inadvertently inoculated with vaccinia (3,7,24--26).

The information regarding adverse events presented in this report is primarily based on reports from the 1960s. Since the vaccine remains unchanged, supportive care and therapeutic care options have improved. The U.S. population also changed and now has a higher proportion of persons with contraindications to smallpox vaccination and an increased risk for adverse reactions. This group includes persons with atopic dermatitis (commonly referred to as eczema) or persons who are immunocompromised as a result of cancer, radiation, autoimmune conditions, immunosuppressive therapies, or immune deficiencies (e.g., human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome [AIDS]). Updated reports regarding the frequency of adverse reactions will be disseminated by CDC as data become available.

This guidance is for evaluation and treatment of patients with complications from smallpox vaccination administered during preoutbreak situations. In the event of a smallpox outbreak, considering smallpox disease will be necessary. Differential diagnosis of any recently vaccinated person who has an acute, generalized, vesicular, pustular rash is critical. If a determination is made regarding whether the rash is early smallpox disease or an adverse reaction to smallpox vaccine, these patients should be presumed to be highly infectious and placed in contact and respiratory isolation immediately. Appropriate local, state, and federal health and security officials should be contacted (5).

Treatments available for specific complications of smallpox vaccination include vaccinia immune globulin (VIG) and ophthalmic antivirals (see Ocular Vaccinial Infections and Therapy). None of these therapies have been tested in controlled clinical trials for efficacy against vaccinia infection. However, because worldwide historical experience using VIG to treat vaccinia-related adverse events exists, it is the first-line therapy. It is available in intravenous and intramuscular (IM) preparations under Investigational New Drug (IND) protocols through CDC and the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD). Cidofovir is an antiviral medication licensed for treatment of cytomegalovirus (CMV) retinitis in immunocompromised patients with AIDS. Cidofovir has been demonstrated to be nephrotoxic among humans and carcinogenic in animals. Cidofovir has never been used to treat vaccinia infections among humans. In animal models, cidofovir had an effect against subsequent orthopoxvirus growth, if administered within 24 hours after experimental inoculation (27). Human studies have demonstrated it to have an effect on orthopoxvirus infection after infection has been fully established. Cidofovir is available under IND protocols from CDC and DoD and should be considered second-line therapy for vaccinia complications (see Treatments).

Frequencies of Adverse Reactions

Two primary sources are available regarding the frequency of adverse reactions from NYCBOH smallpox vaccine: the 1968 U.S. national survey (12) and the 1968 10-state survey (9) (Table 1). These two studies used different methodologies, but are complementary. In the national survey, information was gathered from seven nationwide health care systems. The majority of the information concerning adverse reactions came from the American Red Cross VIG-distribution system. Reactions that did not require use of VIG and those for which VIG use was not warranted were less likely to be reported through this system. The national survey statistics should be considered minimal estimates of the risks from smallpox vaccination. In the 10-state survey, clinicians were actively contacted and urged to report all adverse reactions, including those considered less severe. For this reason, the 10-state survey data probably present a better estimate of the number of persons having adverse reactions. The range of frequencies for these two studies provides an estimate of the frequencies of adverse reactions that might be expected today (28) (Table 1).

A review of vaccinia-related deaths (68) during a 9-year period (1959--1966 and 1968) revealed that deaths among first-time vaccinees as a result of PVE (52%; 36 cases) and PV (28%; 19 cases) and among contacts a EV (18%; 12 cases) (23).

The strain of vaccinia virus might correlate with the type and frequency of adverse reactions (1,12). All U.S. pr of smallpox vaccine contain the NYCBOH strain, one of the less reactogenic strains (1). Therefore, the U.S. e might not represent international experience, which reflects use of other vaccinia strains. Virulence of vaccinia s associated with risk for PVE and PVEM, as well as the likelihood of contact transmission (1,4,17).

Anticipated Adverse Reactions

Adverse reaction rates in the United States today might be higher than those previously reported because the p of persons at risk for adverse events is higher as a result of cancer, cancer therapy, radiation, immunomodulating medications, organ transplantation, and other illnesses (e.g., HIV/AIDS and eczema or atopic dermatitis). Adverse reactions might be better than previously expected because of advances in medical care. Rates for all adverse reactions are lower for persons previously vaccinated (4). During the smallpox eradication era, approximately two thirds complications after smallpox vaccination might have been preventable and might have been avoided with better (13,29). However, screening will not eliminate risk, because the risk factors for certain adverse reactions have not been clearly defined and screening success is subject to recall bias and the participant's willingness to disclose personal information. Stringent medical screening of potential vaccinees for risk factors for adverse events, coupled with infection-control measures to prevent vaccinia transmission, will probably decrease preventable complications (4) after vaccination.

Common Adverse Reactions

Local Skin Reactions

Local skin reactions can occur after smallpox vaccination. These include allergic reactions to bandage and tape RTs, and less commonly, bacterial infections of the vaccination site (4). Reactions to adhesives usually result in demarcated lines of erythema that correspond to the placement of adhesive tape (Figures 9 and 10). Patients have pruritis but no systemic symptoms and are otherwise well. Frequent bandage changes, periodically leaving the site open to air, or a change to paper tape might alleviate symptoms. Care should be used to vary the position of bandages. This condition is self-limited and resolves when bandages are no longer needed. Topical and oral treatment for this reaction should be avoided because the site contains live vaccinia virus. Salves, creams, or ointments including topical antibacterial medications, should not be applied to the vaccination site.

Nonspecific Rashes

Common nonspecific rashes associated with smallpox vaccination include fine reticular maculopapular rashes, lymph streaking, generalized urticaria, and broad, flat, roseola-like erythematous macules and patches (Figure 11). They are believed to be caused by immune response to vaccination and do not contain vaccinia. Erythematous or urticarial rashes can occur approximately 10 days (range: 4--17 days) after first-time vaccination. The vaccinee is usually well and the rash resolves spontaneously within 2--4 days (8). Nonspecific rashes are usually self-limited. These people appear well and benefit from simple supportive care measures (e.g., oral anti-histamine agents).

Dermatologic Manifestations of Hypersensitivity Reactions

EM, sometimes referred to as roseola vaccinia or toxic urticaria, might appear as different types of lesions, including macules, papules, urticaria, and typical bull's-eye (targetoid or iris) lesions (8,30). Because the number of clinical descriptions of vaccinia-associated EM rashes is limited, the following details are extrapolated from common descriptions of EM occurring after herpes simplex or mycoplasma infections. The hallmark target lesion of EM associated with vaccinia infections usually appears with a central, dark papule or vesicle, surrounded by a pale zone and a halo of erythema within 10 days after viral infection (30). The limited clinical descriptions of EM after smallpox vaccination indicate that it follows a similar course (8). The rash of EM might be extremely pruritic, lasting ≤ 4 weeks, and patients benefit from administration of oral antipruritics (30) ([Figure 12](#)).

Less commonly, hypersensitivity reactions can appear as a more serious condition, Stevens-Johnson syndrome. SJS can also arise from EM and typically includes systemic symptoms with involvement of ≥ 2 mucosal surfaces (31) and $\geq 10\%$ body surface area. This condition requires hospitalization and supportive care (30) ([Figure 13](#)).

The role of systemic steroids for treatment of SJS is controversial; therefore, the decision to administer systemic steroids to patients with postvaccinial SJS should be made after consultation with specialists in this area (e.g., dermatologists, immunologists, or infectious disease specialists), according to the prevailing standard of care. VIG is not used to treat nonspecific rashes, EM, or SJS, because these lesions are probably a manifestation of a hypersensitivity reaction and are not believed to contain vaccinia virus.

Vaccinia-Specific Adverse Reactions

The following guidance related to recognizing, evaluating, and treating smallpox vaccine-related adverse reactions is provided ([Figure 2](#)).

Inadvertent Inoculation

Inadvertent inoculation is a common but avoidable complication of smallpox vaccination (9,22). Inadvertent inoculation occurs when vaccinia virus is transferred from a vaccination site to a second location on the vaccinee or to a close contact. The most common sites involved are the face, eyelid, nose, mouth, lips, genitalia, and anus ([Figure 14](#)). Among immunocompetent persons, lesions follow the same course as the vaccination site.

Clinicians in the smallpox eradication era observed that when inadvertent inoculation of a vaccinee occurred close to the time of vaccination, the resulting secondary lesions matured at the same pace as the central lesion of the vaccination site. In contrast, lesions from inadvertent inoculation that occurred >5 days postvaccination appeared attenuated, which suggests that the developing immune response might limit the reaction (J. Michael Lane, M.D., formerly Director, Smallpox Eradication Program, Communicable Disease Center, personal communication, 2002) (22).

A primary prevention strategy to avoid inadvertent inoculation is to instruct vaccinees and their close contacts to avoid touching or scratching the vaccination site from the time of vaccination until the scab separates. In addition, vigilant handwashing with soap and warm water or hand rubs containing $\geq 60\%$ alcohol, after touching an unhealed vaccination site or changing a vaccination dressing is critical. Lesions from an inadvertent inoculation contain live vaccinia virus, so the same contact precautions necessary for a vaccination site are necessary for these secondary lesions. Persons at risk for inadvertent inoculation are younger persons (e.g., children aged 1–4 years) and those with disruption of the epidermis.

Periocular and ocular implantation (hereafter referred to as ocular vaccinial disease) accounted for the majority of inadvertent inoculations (22).

inadvertent inoculations and were often noted within 7--10 days of vaccination among first-time vaccinees (22, vaccinia disease can occur in different forms, including blepharitis (inflammation of the eyelid), conjunctivitis, keratitis (inflammation of the cornea, including epithelial and stromal forms), iritis, or combinations thereof (33) ([Figures 17 and 18](#)). When evaluating a patient with the new onset of a red eye or periocular vesicles, vaccinia infection should be considered and history of recent vaccinia exposure (e.g., smallpox vaccination or close contact with a vaccine recipient) should be sought. The goal of therapy of ocular disease is to prevent complications, including corneal scarring associated with keratitis ([Figures 17 and 18](#)), and the patient should be managed with an ophthalmologist. In a limited study of keratitis among rabbits, 1 dose of VIG did not alter the clinical course, but rabbits treated with 5 daily doses (2 times that recommended for humans) developed larger and more persistent corneal scars, compared with control animals. The 2001 Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) recommendation states that VIG is contraindicated in patients with vaccinia keratitis ([6](#)). However, in November 2002, this recommendation was reevaluated and modified by the Public Health Service (see Ocular Vaccinia Infections and Therapy). VIG should not be withheld if a corneal condition exists that requires administration of VIG (e.g., EV or PV) and should be considered for severe ocular disease except isolated keratitis. In these situations, VIG should be administered if the risk of the comorbid condition is less than the potential risk of VIG-associated complications of keratitis (see Ocular Vaccinia Infections and Therapy).

Uncomplicated inadvertent inoculation lesions are self-limited, resolving in approximately 3 weeks, and require no treatment. If extensive body surface area is involved, or severe ocular vaccinia infection (without keratitis) ([Figure 19](#)), or if inadvertent inoculation has occurred, treatment with VIG can speed recovery and prevent spread of disease.

Ocular Vaccinia Infections and Therapy

Ocular vaccinia infections account for the majority of inadvertent inoculations. However, data upon which to base treatment recommendations are limited. Published reports of treatment of human infections are predominantly case reports concerning clinical experience with older antiviral drugs (e.g., idoxuridine [IDU] or interferon) or VIG. These studies did not employ the prospective, randomized, double-blinded, controlled trials that are now standard; clinical course and follow-up information are often variable (35--38). None of the available topical ophthalmic antiviral agents has been studied among humans with ocular vaccinia disease, except in one case report, where vidarabine was apparently superior to IDU in treating blepharoconjunctivitis (38). Prophylaxis of the cornea with topical antiviral drugs is common ophthalmologic practice in treating ocular herpes simplex and varicella-zoster infections (33). Therapies that have been considered for treatment of ocular vaccinia infections include topical ophthalmic antiviral drugs (trifluridine [Viradene, King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bristol, Tennessee] and vidarabine [Vira-A, King Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bristol, Tennessee]) and parenteral VIG. Trifluridine and vidarabine are not approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of vaccinia disease, although the product labels for trifluridine and vidarabine state that they have in vitro and in vivo activity against vaccinia virus. Vidarabine is no longer being manufactured, but supplies might still be available in certain areas.

Among humans with GV and EV, VIG treatment decreases size and limits extension of vaccinia lesions within 24 hours. Consequently, VIG has been considered a means to prevent spread of facial vaccinia to the eye and prevent severe ocular vaccinia without corneal involvement. No evidence exists that VIG is effective in treating vaccinia infection of the cornea (i.e., vaccinia keratitis).

Case reports exist of human patients with vaccinia keratitis not treated with VIG who apparently experienced more severe sequelae (including corneal scarring and disciform edema) than described in case reports where VIG therapy was used (35,39--41), as well as a case report concerning use of VIGIM in treating vaccinia keratitis in which corneal scarring did not develop (41). Case reports indicated efficacy of VIGIM in treating vaccinia blepharoconjunctivitis and blepharitis (32,40,42). To discuss treatment options for ocular vaccinia, CDC convened a meeting of ophthalmology and infectious disease specialists.

disease consultants in November 2002. On the basis of available data and input from these consultants, this report provides the following guidance for clinicians:

- Suspected ocular vaccinia infections should be managed in consultation with an ophthalmologist to ensure a thorough and accurate eye evaluation, including a slit-lamp examination, and the specialized expertise needed to manage vision-threatening disease.
- Although vaccine splashes to the eye occur rarely because of the viscosity of smallpox vaccine, these occurrences should be managed by immediate eye-washing with water (avoid pressure irrigation, which can cause corneal abrasions) and a baseline evaluation by an ophthalmologist. In this situation, off-label prophylactic use of topical ophthalmic trifluridine or vidarabine has been recommended by ophthalmologists (CDC, unpublished data, 2002). Further treatment may be necessary.
- Off-label use of topical ophthalmic trifluridine or vidarabine has been recommended by certain ophthalmologists (CDC, unpublished data, 2002) and can be considered for treatment of vaccinia infection of the conjunctiva or cornea. Prophylactic therapy with these drugs might also be considered to prevent spread to the conjunctiva and cornea if lesions are present on the eyelid, including if near the lid margin, or adjacent to the eye. The potential benefits of these drugs for prophylaxis should be balanced against the minimal but potential risk of drug toxicity and of introducing infection into the eye by frequent manipulation.
- Topical antivirals should be continued until all periocular or lid lesions have healed and the scabs have fallen off. Although topical trifluridine usually is not used for >14 days to avoid possible toxicity. When used for >14 days, trifluridine can lead to superficial punctate keratopathy, which resolves on discontinuation of the medication. Topical vidarabine is preferable for use among children because it can be compounded into an ointment that allows less frequent dosing and has fewer initial stings than trifluridine.
- VIG should be considered for use in severe ocular disease when keratitis is not present (e.g., severe blepharitis or blepharoconjunctivitis). Severe ocular disease is defined as marked hyperemia, edema, pustules, other focal lesions, lymphadenopathy, cellulitis, and fever. If keratitis is present with these conditions, consideration of possible VIG use should be weighed against evidence in an animal model for increased risk for corneal scar formation if a substantial dose is administered during multiple days.
- VIG can be considered if the ocular disease is severe enough to pose a substantial risk of impaired vision as a long-term outcome (e.g., vision-threatening lid malformation). If VIG is administered specifically to treat ocular disease in the presence of keratitis, treatment usually should be limited to 1 dose, and the patient or guardian should be informed of the possible risks and benefits before its use.
- Using VIG as recommended to treat other severe vaccinia disease (e.g., EV) is indicated, even in the presence of keratitis. VIG is not recommended for treating isolated keratitis.
- Topical ophthalmic antibacterials should be considered for prophylaxis of bacterial infection in the presence of severe ocular disease, including if a corneal ulcer is present or steroids are used. In severe cases of keratitis (e.g., with an ulcer and stromal infiltrate) and in iritis, topical steroids should be considered after the corneal epithelium is healed to decrease inflammation; mydriatics are also indicated.
- Topical steroids should not be used without ophthalmologic consultation and should not be used acutely with antiviral therapy. Patients with ocular vaccinia infection, including with keratitis or iritis, should receive careful follow-up evaluation by an ophthalmologist to detect and treat possible late onset complications (e.g., scarring and immune reactions).

Additional data from animal and human clinical studies are needed to improve the evidence base and to refine recommendations for ocular vaccinia disease. Physicians treating patients with ocular vaccinia infection are encouraged to enroll in studies designed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of VIG and available antiviral preparations for treatment of ocular complications.

GV

GV is characterized by a disseminated maculopapular or vesicular rash, frequently on an erythematous base, that occurs 6–9 days after first-time vaccination (1,8). The rash spans the spectrum of vaccinia lesions, from macules to vesicles. Maculopapules can be mistaken for EM when they are accompanied by a substantial component of edema (9) (J. Michael Lane, M.D., formerly Director, Smallpox Eradication Program, Communicable Disease Center communication, 2002) (Figure 20). In other instances, the pearly vesicles of GV resemble the lesions of smallpox; however, GV does not follow the centrifugal distribution that is characteristic of smallpox (1) (Figure 21).

GV rash might be preceded by fever, but usually, patients do not appear ill (Figure 22). Lesions follow the same distribution as the vaccination site. Lesions can be present anywhere on the body, including the palms and soles and can be numerous or limited. GV can appear as a regional form that is characterized by extensive satellite vesiculation around the vaccination site, or as an eruption localized to a body part (e.g., arm or leg), with no evidence of inadvertent inoculation (4,23). A mild form of GV also exists, which appears with only a limited number of scattered lesions.

The skin lesions of GV are believed to be spread by the hematogenous route (1) and might contain vaccinia virus. Therefore, contact precautions should be used when treating these patients. Patients should be instructed to keep lesions covered and avoid physical contact with others if their lesions are too numerous to cover with bandages or clothing. The differential diagnosis of GV includes EM, EV, inadvertent inoculation at multiple sites, and uncommonly, early smallpox or PV or other vesicular diseases (e.g., disseminated herpes or severe chickenpox).

GV is self-limited among immunocompetent hosts. These patients appear well and do not require VIG, but might benefit from simple supportive care measures (e.g., nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents [NSAIDs] and oral antipruritics). Antipruritics might be beneficial in the rare case where an immunocompetent person appears systemically ill. GV is often mild among persons with an underlying immunodeficiency, and these patients might benefit from early intervention with VIG.

EV

EV is a localized or generalized papular, vesicular, or pustular rash, which can occur anywhere on the body, with a predilection for areas of previous atopic dermatitis lesions. Persons with a history of atopic dermatitis are at high risk for EV. Onset of the characteristic lesions can be noted either concurrently with or shortly after the development of vaccinia lesions (1). EV cases resulting from secondary transmission usually appeared with skin eruptions approximately 5–19 days after the suspected exposure (1,17) (Figures 24 and 25). EV lesions follow the same dermatologic distribution as the vaccination site in a vaccinee, and confluent lesions can occur (Figure 26). The rash is often accompanied by lymphadenopathy, and affected persons are systemically ill (43). EV tends to be more severe among first-time or unvaccinated contacts (12,44) (Figure 27).

Atopic dermatitis, regardless of disease severity or activity, is a risk factor for experiencing EV among either vaccinees or their close contacts (21,22,44–46), but no data exist to predict the absolute risk for these persons. The majority of primary-care providers do not distinguish between eczema and atopic dermatitis when describing chronic exfoliative skin conditions, including among infants and young children (47,48). Animal studies demonstrate that an immunologic dysregulation predisposes persons affected with atopic dermatitis to disseminated progressive papular, vesicular, or pustular lesions, even in intact skin (47).

EV can be associated with systemic illness that includes fever and malaise. Management includes hemodynamic support (e.g., as for sepsis) and meticulous skin care (e.g., as for burn victims). Patients might require volume repletion and monitoring of electrolytes as a result of disruption of the dermal barrier. Patients with EV are at risk for secondary bacterial and fungal infections of the lesions, and antibacterials and antifungals are indicated as necessary.

One study determined that the mortality from EV was reduced from 30%–40% to 7% after the introduction of VIG. Therefore, establishing the diagnosis early not delaying treatment with VIG is imperative to reducing mortality. EV is usually severely ill and can require multiple doses of VIG. Virus can be isolated from EV lesions, making these highly infectious. Infection-control precautions should be used to prevent secondary transmission and nosocomial infection (17).

PV

PV (also referred to as vaccinia necrosum, vaccinia gangrenosa, prolonged vaccinia, and disseminated vaccinia) is a severe, and often lethal complication that occurs among persons with immunodeficiencies (43,49–51). This diagnosis should be suspected if the initial vaccination lesion continues to progress without apparent healing ≥ 15 days after vaccination (8). Anecdotal experience suggests that, despite treatment with VIG, persons with cell-mediated immune deficits have a poorer prognosis than those with humoral deficits (1).

PV is characterized by painless progressive necrosis at the vaccination site with or without metastases to distant skin, bones, and other viscera (50) (Figure 28). The vaccination lesion does not heal, presumably secondary to immune derangement, and progresses to an ulcerative lesion, often with central necrosis (9) (Figure 29). Initially, no inflammation appears at the site, and histopathology can reveal absence of inflammatory cells in the dermis. In the weeks that follow, patients might experience bacterial infection and signs of inflammation (J. Michael Lane, formerly Director, Smallpox Eradication Program, Communicable Disease Center, personal communication, 2002). In a 1963 study, the majority of 66 cases initially reported to be PV were reclassified after follow-up as severe primary (major) reactions (22). Cases of severe major reactions cleared within 1–2 weeks without VIG treatment (Figure 31).

With PV, vaccinia virus continues to spread locally and can metastasize to distant sites through viremia (Figure 32). Vaccinia virus can be isolated from the skin lesions of these patients. Infection-control precautions, which include isolation, are required to avoid vaccinia infection of other persons and to limit risk for secondary infections.

The differential diagnosis of PV includes severe bacterial infection, severe chickenpox, other necrotic conditions (e.g., gangrene), and disseminated herpes simplex infections. Persons at highest risk for PV include those with congenital or acquired immunodeficiencies, HIV/AIDS, cancer, and those on immunosuppressive therapies for organ transplant or autoimmune disease. The degree and type of immunocompromise probably correlates with the risk for PV, although the protective level of cellular count or humoral immunity is unknown.

Before the introduction of VIG and early antiviral medications, PV was universally fatal (23); but after VIG was introduced for PV treatment, the survival rate improved (9,13). Surgical debridement was used infrequently with variable success. The primary progressive necrotic lesions of PV (V. Fulginiti, M.D., Universities of Arizona and Colorado, personal communication, 2002). Management of PV should include aggressive therapy with VIG, intensive monitoring, and high-level supportive care. Despite advances in medical care, PV probably will continue to be associated with a high mortality rate.

Postvaccinial Central Nervous System Disease

Central nervous system (CNS) disease after smallpox vaccination is most common among infants aged <12 months. The diagnosis is one of exclusion (12). Clinical symptoms reflect cerebral or cerebellar dysfunction with headache, fever, altered mental status, lethargy, seizures, and coma (43). CNS lesions occur in the cerebrum, medulla, and spinal cord. Lumbar puncture can reveal an increased opening cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) pressure, and examination of CSF

indicate monocytosis, lymphocytosis, and elevated CSF protein (1,12,43).

Both PVE and PVEM have been described (1). PVE typically affects infants aged <2 years and reflects cerebral as a result of vascular changes. Acute onset of symptoms occurs 6--10 days postvaccination and can include sensory hemiplegia, aphasia, and transient amnesia. Associated histopathological changes include generalized cerebral lymphocytic meningeal infiltration, widespread ganglion degenerative changes, and occasionally, perivascular hemorrhages. Patients can be left with cerebral impairment and hemiplegia (1).

PVEM (or encephalitis) affects persons aged ≥ 2 years and includes abrupt onset of fever, vomiting, headache, and anorexia approximately 11--15 days after vaccination. Symptoms can progress to loss of consciousness, confusion, disorientation, restlessness, delirium, drowsiness, seizures, and coma with incontinence or urinary retention, obstinate constipation, and sometimes meningismus. CSF, although under increased pressure, reveals normal chemistry and cell count. Histopathological features include perivenous demyelination and microglial proliferation in demyelinated areas with lymphocytic infiltration but limited cerebral edema. These pathological features are similar to what is seen in other postinfectious encephalitides (1,53).

The strain of vaccinia virus used in smallpox vaccines might influence the frequency of PVE and PVEM (1). Recent European data indicate generally higher rates of PVE among persons vaccinated with non-NYCBOH strain than in the United States, where the principal strain used was the NYCBOH, the occurrence of PVE or PVEM was rare in first-time vaccinees (1,9,12).

Unrelated diseases that cause encephalomyelitis or encephalopathy might be temporally related to smallpox vaccination (1). U.S. rates might include these unrelated events, artificially increasing the rates of PVE/PVEM (1,9).

The pathophysiology of PVE/PVEM is not well understood, although an autoimmune process has been hypothesized (53,54). Vaccinia virus has been isolated from CSF and CNS tissue of affected persons (12,53,55). The significance of this finding is unknown in the absence of controlled trials that examine CSF of healthy vaccinees.

No clinical criteria, radiographic findings, or laboratory tests are specific for the diagnosis of PVE. PVE/PVEM diagnoses of exclusion, and other infectious or toxic etiologies should be considered before making these diagnoses. In the past, recipients of the NYCBOH strain who experienced PVE or PVEM had a 15%--25% mortality rate, and survivors were left with varying neurological deficits (12).

No study has indicated that VIG can be an effective therapy for PVE or PVEM, and therefore, VIG is not recommended for treatment of PVE or PVEM. A prospective study of prophylactic use of VIG among Dutch army recruits demonstrated reduced incidence of PVE among persons vaccinated with a non-NYCBOH strain (56). This led to the administration of VIG in first-time vaccinations of adults in the Netherlands (57). However, the incidence of PVE/PVEM after smallpox vaccination with the NYCBOH strain is low (9); therefore, concomitant administration of VIG at time of vaccination has never been recommended with the NYCBOH strain.

No specific therapy exists for PVE or PVEM; however, supportive care, anticonvulsants, and intensive care monitoring are required. Because the clinical symptoms of PVE or PVEM are not believed to be a result of replicating vaccinia virus, the role of antivirals is unclear.

Fetal Vaccinia

Fetal vaccinia, resulting from vaccinia transmission from mother to fetus, is a rare, but serious, complication of smallpox vaccination.

vaccination during pregnancy or shortly before conception; <50 cases have been reported in the literature (58-61). Fetal vaccinia is manifested by skin lesions and organ involvement, and often results in fetal or neonatal death (61). The lesions in the newborn infant are similar to those of GV or PV and can be confluent and extensive (Figures 33-35). The number of affected pregnancies maintained until term is limited. Affected pregnancies have been reported in women vaccinated in all three trimesters, among first-time vaccinees as well as in those being revaccinated, and in nonvaccinated contacts of vaccinees (18,19). Because fetal vaccinia is so rare, the frequency of, and risks for, fetal vaccinia cannot be reliably determined. Whether virus infects the fetus through blood or by direct contact with amniotic fluid is unknown. No known reliable intrauterine diagnostic test is available to confirm fetal infection.

Apart from the characteristic pattern of fetal vaccinia, smallpox vaccination of pregnant women has not been clearly associated with prematurity, low birth weight, and fetal loss. In addition, smallpox vaccine has not been demonstrated to cause congenital malformations (62--64).

VIG might be considered for a viable infant born with lesions, although no data exist for determining the appropriate dosage or estimating efficacy. If a pregnant woman is inadvertently vaccinated or if she becomes pregnant with a child after vaccinia vaccination, she should be counseled regarding the basis of concern for the fetus. However, given the low frequency of congenital vaccinia among live-born infants, vaccination during pregnancy should not ordinarily be a reason for termination of pregnancy. No indication exists for routine, prophylactic use of VIG for an unintentionally vaccinated pregnant woman; however, VIG should not be withheld if a pregnant woman experiences a condition where VIG is needed (e.g., EV). To expand understanding of the risk for fetal vaccinia and to document whether adverse pregnancy outcome might be associated with vaccination, CDC is establishing a prospective smallpox vaccination pregnancy cohort (see Requests for Clinical Consultation and IND Therapies and for Registries Enrollment).

Other Vaccine-Specific Adverse Events

Less frequently reported adverse events temporally associated with after smallpox vaccination include myocarditis (65--70), precipitation of erythema nodosum leprosum or neuritis among leprosy patients (1), and osteomyelitis (sometimes confirmed by recovery of vaccinia virus) (1,71). Reported skin changes at the vaccination site have included malignant tumors (e.g., melanoma [8], discoid lupus [72], and localized myxedema as a symptom of autoimmune disease [73]). Reported neurologic complications after smallpox vaccination include transverse myelitis, seizure, polyneuritis, and brachial neuritis (53,74).

Whether these conditions are caused by smallpox vaccination or represent coincidental occurrences after vaccination is unclear. Temporal association alone does not prove causation (75). Other unknown adverse events after smallpox vaccination might yet be described. Determining causality of reported postvaccination events associated with a vaccine is challenging and requires careful weighing of all the scientific evidence, evaluation of the quality and quantity of the data, and consideration of biologic plausibility of the association between the vaccination and the event (76). Clinicians should report unexpected and clinically relevant adverse events after vaccination to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS) and follow local, state, and territorial reporting requirements (see Smallpox Event Reporting).

Revaccination of Persons with History of Adverse Events

Before the eradication of smallpox, clinicians were often faced with the decision of whether to revaccinate persons who had documented serious adverse reactions. One study recommended that persons with a history of postvaccinia disease (e.g., PVE/PVEM) or PV should not be revaccinated. Revaccination of children who had EV was not contraindicated, although it was recommended that they receive VIG concomitantly. Revaccination of children

history of inadvertent inoculation or erythematous or urticarial rashes presented no known or theoretical risk (8)

Persons with a history of an adverse reaction to smallpox vaccination that leads to deferral should not know in a situation where they might be exposed to smallpox. No absolute contraindications exist regarding vaccination of persons with high-risk exposures to smallpox; persons at greatest risk for experiencing serious vaccination complications are also at greatest risk for death from smallpox. In this situation, the benefits of smallpox vaccination probably outweigh the risks for an adverse reaction from smallpox vaccine (6).

Prophylaxis for Persons at High Risk Inadvertently Exposed to Vaccinia Virus Either by Vaccination or Contact Transmission

Historically, VIG was administered prophylactically to persons at increased risk for vaccine-related adverse events who required vaccination or who were inadvertently vaccinated (8). However, VIG administration is not without risk. The efficacy of VIG as a prophylactic against vaccinia infection has not been studied in a controlled setting.

Until VIG is evaluated for such use, VIG is not recommended for prophylaxis when persons with contraindications to smallpox vaccination are inadvertently exposed to vaccinia and are otherwise well. Such persons should have close clinical follow-up to ensure prompt diagnosis and treatment of an adverse event, if one occurs. Furthermore, in the absence of circulating smallpox virus, VIG is not recommended for concomitant use with smallpox vaccination in persons with contraindications. As recommended by ACIP, careful screening criteria should be used to exclude persons with contraindications from preoutbreak smallpox vaccination programs (21).

To better understand the risks for vaccinia exposure among persons with contraindications to smallpox vaccination, CDC plans to maintain a registry of inadvertent exposures among groups at high risk (e.g., vaccinees or contacts with dermatologic or pregnancy contraindications). Clinicians are encouraged to report these cases to CDC so that prompt treatment can be initiated when necessary, and patients can be followed by using a standardized protocol. These data can be used to assess risk for experiencing an adverse event and the potential role for prophylactic therapy among persons with contraindications (see Requests for Clinical Consultation and IND Therapies and for Registries Enrollment).

Laboratory Diagnostics

Clinical evaluation and a careful patient history of recent smallpox vaccination or contact with a recent vaccinee are the mainstays of diagnosis of smallpox vaccine-related adverse events. In situations where clinical diagnosis is not straightforward, laboratory diagnostics for vaccinia might be helpful and might prevent inappropriate use of potentially toxic therapies. However, diagnostics for conditions easily confused with vaccinia infection (i.e., varicella, herpes simplex, and enteroviruses), should be considered first, in particular for a nonvaccinee or someone believing they had no contact with a vaccinee.

Serologic testing for vaccinia is probably uninformative because it cannot be used to distinguish between recent and past vaccinia infection unless baseline antibody titers are available. Diagnostic tests for vaccinia include electron microscopy to identify presence of orthopoxvirus, and gene amplification (polymerase chain reaction [PCR]), and viral culture to confirm vaccinia. Regarding vaccinia, these tests are available only for research purposes, but are undergoing multicenter studies that might enable FDA to approve the test reagents for diagnostic use. After that approval, testing will be available through the Laboratory Response Network (LRN) (22), an extensive system of public health and private laboratories that can be accessed through consultation with state and local health departments. Consultation regarding appropriate use of specialized vaccinia laboratory testing will be available through CDC.

Laboratory Specimen Collection

A suspected case of an adverse event after smallpox vaccination should be promptly reported to the appropriate state, or territorial health department. When appropriate, public health officials might recommend that clinical specimens be collected for further evaluation of a possible case. Specimen collection guidelines are available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccination/vaccinia-specimen-collection.asp>.

Treatments

VIG, cidofovir, and topical ophthalmic antiviral drugs are among the therapies that can be used to treat adverse reactions after smallpox vaccination. Ophthalmic drugs are discussed elsewhere in this report (see Ocular Vaccinia Infection and Therapy).

VIG

VIG is a sterile solution of the immunoglobulin fraction of plasma, containing antibodies to vaccinia virus from persons who were vaccinated with smallpox vaccine. The available preparation of VIG is a previously licensed IM product (produced by Baxter Healthcare Corporation in 1994) containing 0.01% thimerosal (a mercury derivative) as a preservative. Two new IV preparations (VIGIV) are in production and do not contain thimerosal. All preparations will be available as IND products through CDC and DoD.

VIG has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of smallpox vaccine adverse reactions that are secondary to vaccinia virus replication after vaccination (41,78). Such adverse reactions include EV, PV, or vaccinia necrosum. In severe cases of GV, VIG has no proven effectiveness for postvaccinia central nervous system disease.

VIG is recommended for treating EV and PV. Because the majority of cases of GV are self-limited, VIG is recommended for treating GV only if the patient is seriously ill or has serious underlying disease that is a risk factor for a complication (e.g., such immunocompromised conditions as HIV/AIDS). VIG can also be useful in treating ocular vaccinia that results from inadvertent implantation. When ocular vaccinia with keratitis is present, consideration of VIG should include the possible increased risk for corneal scarring (see Ocular Vaccinia Infections and Therapy) (Box 2).

Side Effects

VIG administration has been associated with mild, moderate, and severe adverse reactions. Mild adverse reactions include local pain and tenderness, swelling, and erythema at the injection site after IM administration of immunoglobulin. These reactions persist from hours to 1–2 days after administration.

Moderate adverse reactions include joint pain, diarrhea, dizziness, hyperkinesia, drowsiness, pruritus, rash, periorbital edema, and vasodilation. Back and abdominal pain, nausea, and vomiting can occur within the first 10 minutes of injection. Fever, headache, myalgia, and fatigue can begin at the end of infusion and continue for hours. More severe reactions might require pretreatment with corticosteroids or acetaminophen, if another dose of VIG is required.

Serious adverse events associated with administration of VIGIV are expected to be similar to those observed with intravenous immune globulin (IVIG) products, and can include hypotension, anaphylaxis and anaphylactoid syndrome, reactions, renal dysfunction, and aseptic meningitis syndrome (AMS). When AMS occurs, it usually begins from hours to 2 days after treatment and can occur more frequently in association with high dosage (2 g/kg body weight).

therapy. It is characterized by severe headache, nuchal rigidity, drowsiness, fever, photophobia, painful eye movements, nausea, and vomiting. Discontinuation of IVIG treatment has resulted in remission of AMS within days without

Anaphylaxis and anaphylactoid systemic reactions have been reported after IM or IV injection of human immunoglobulin preparations. The symptoms of classic anaphylactic reactions include flushing, facial swelling, dyspnea, cyanosis, nausea, vomiting, malaise, hypotension, loss of consciousness, and in certain cases, death. Symptoms appear from seconds to hours after infusion. The treatment of such reactions is immediate discontinuation of immune globulin administration of epinephrine, oxygen, antihistamines, IV steroids, and cardiorespiratory support.

When proteins prepared from human blood or plasma are administered, the potential for transmission of infection cannot be totally excluded. This also applies to infectious agents that might not have been discovered or characterized when the current preparations of VIG were formulated. To reduce the risk of transmitting infectious agents, strict controls are applied in the selection of blood and plasma donors, and prescribed standards are used at plasma centers, testing laboratories, and fractionation facilities.

VIG Risks and Contraindications

Contraindications to VIG administration include an acute allergic reaction to thimerosal (for VIGIM) or a history of severe reaction after administration of human immunoglobulin preparations. Persons with selective immunoglobulin deficiency might have antibodies to IgA and could have anaphylactic reactions to subsequent administration of products that contain IgA. In a rabbit model of vaccinia keratitis, substantial doses of VIG were associated with scarring (34) (see Ocular Vaccinia Infections and Therapy).

Whether VIG can cause fetal harm when administered to a pregnant woman or if it affects reproductive capacity is unknown. Although clinical experience with other preparations containing immunoglobulins indicates that no fetal events result from immunoglobulins, no studies have evaluated the adverse effects of VIG on the fetus. VIG should be administered to a pregnant woman only if clearly needed. Similarly, whether VIG is excreted in breast milk is unknown; therefore, caution should be exercised when VIG is administered to a nursing woman.

VIG is made from human plasma; therefore, a possible risk of transmission of viruses and a theoretical risk of transmission of adventitious agents that can cause Creutzfeldt-Jacob disease exist. The risk that these products contain infectious agents has been reduced by questioning plasma donors about risk factors for infection and by testing for presence of certain viruses in the plasma. Furthermore, manufacturing processes have been validated for their ability to inactivate and remove viruses.

Administration

Detailed instructions regarding the administration of IM and IV VIG are included in the Investigator's Brochure and the IND materials that accompany the products. For treatment of vaccinia complications, the recommended dose of VIGIM (16.5% solution) is 0.6 mL/kg body weight (100 mg/kg body weight). VIGIM is to be administered intramuscularly, preferably in the buttock or the anterolateral aspect of the thigh. To reduce local pain and discomfort, dividing the dose into smaller volumes to be administered by multiple injections might be necessary (79).

Because the concentration of the new VIGIV products differs from that of the IM preparation, clinicians should consult the manufacturer's package insert, or IND protocol, for correct dosages. The dose for IV administration of VIGIV ranges from 100 mg/kg body weight to 500 mg/kg body weight, depending on the VIGIV formulation.

Cidofovir

Cidofovir (Vistide,[®] Gilead Sciences, Foster City, California), a nucleotide analogue of cytosine, has demonstrated antiviral activity against certain orthopoxviruses in cell-based in vitro and animal model studies (80--82). Its effect on the treatment of vaccinia-related complications among humans is unknown. Cidofovir has been demonstrated to be nephrotoxic among humans and carcinogenic among animals, even at low doses (Gilead Sciences. Cidofovir [Package insert]. Foster City, CA: Gilead Sciences, Inc; 2000). It is administered with probenecid and hydration.

Cidofovir is approved by FDA for treating CMV retinitis among patients with AIDS. Its use for treating smallpox vaccination complications is recommended only under IND protocol sponsored by CDC. This IND is a research protocol to evaluate the clinical effect and outcomes of cidofovir as a secondary treatment of vaccinia-related complications in patients who do not respond to VIG treatment. CDC will supply cidofovir at no cost for use under this IND protocol.

Cidofovir will be released for civilian use by CDC and for military use by DoD, if 1) a patient fails to respond to VIG treatment; 2) a patient is near death; or 3) all inventories of VIG have been exhausted. This proposed use of cidofovir is investigational and has not been studied among humans; therefore, the benefit of cidofovir therapy for vaccinia complications is uncertain. Insufficient information exists to determine the appropriate dosing and accompanying administration of probenecid if antiviral therapy is needed to treat smallpox vaccine-related adverse events among pediatric age group. Dosages for these patients should be determined in consultation with specialists at CDC. Additional information regarding dosing and administration of cidofovir is included in the Investigator's Brochure. This brochure accompanies the release of this product to the clinician when cidofovir is used under the IND protocol.

Side Effects

The major complication of cidofovir therapy is renal toxicity, which is sometimes irreversible, results in renal failure, and requires dialysis to prevent death. To reduce the renal toxicity of cidofovir, it must be administered with careful hydration and with probenecid, a renal tubular blocking agent. Cidofovir has also been associated with neutropenia, proteinuria, decreased intraocular pressure/ocular hypotony, anterior uveitis/iritis, and metabolic acidosis. Cidofovir-related carcinogenicity, teratogenicity, and hypospermia have been reported in animal studies. Mammary adenocarcinoma developed in rats exposed to 0.04 times the human exposure at the dose used in clinical practice on the basis of body weight under-the-curve comparisons (Gilead Sciences, Inc. Cidofovir [Package insert]. Foster City, CA: Gilead Sciences, Inc; 2000).

Probenecid has been associated with headache, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, urinary frequency, hypersensitivity reactions, anemia, hemolytic anemia, nephritic syndrome, hepatic necrosis, gout, uric acid stones, and renal colic. Probenecid should be used with caution among children, pregnant women and persons with sulfa drug allergy (see manufacturer's insert).

Administration

Details for administration of cidofovir are included with the medication and IND materials that are shipped by CDC. The proposed dose of cidofovir for treatment of vaccinia complications is 5 mg/kg body weight administered intravenously over one time, during a 60-minute period. A second dose 1 week later should be considered if no response occurs to the first dose. Dose adjustment might be needed to compensate for decreased excretion caused by renal dysfunction if a second dose is needed. Administration procedures include assessment of renal function and use of saline hydration, and probenecid, before and after cidofovir, according to the regimen specified in the IND protocol (and in the package insert for treatment of CMV retinitis). Patients who receive cidofovir should be followed closely, both for drug toxicity and the outcome of their serious adverse reaction. IND protocols require viral cultures to monitor for emerging viral infections.

to cidofovir. The protocol materials will be supplied to facilitate monitoring and information collection. Long-term follow-up is required under the IND protocol to monitor for carcinogenicity, renal insufficiency, and teratogenicity.

Requests for Clinical Consultation and IND Therapies and for Registries Enrollment

In October 2002, ACIP recommended that enhanced terrorism preparedness should include vaccination of smallpox public health response and health-care teams (21). Implementation of this vaccination program was determined by the responsibility of the states and territories in conjunction with local predesignated hospitals. Before participation in a vaccination program, states and territories should establish a comprehensive program to manage vaccinees and their contacts who experience an adverse event after smallpox vaccination. Hospitals that participate should assign personnel with expertise in infectious diseases, neurology, dermatology, allergy/immunology, and ophthalmology to assess and manage adverse events among vaccinees and their contacts. Vaccinees and their affected contacts should have access to evaluation and medical care for a suspected adverse event 24 hours/day and 7 days/week. CDC will provide consultation to state and territorial public health officials, their surrogate providers, and other requesting physicians regarding recognition, evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment of adverse events after smallpox vaccination through an information line for clinicians that will be staffed 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. In addition, CDC will provide consultation for evaluation and care of persons with contraindications to smallpox vaccination that have an inadvertent exposure to vaccinia virus (e.g., vaccination of a pregnant woman or a person with atopic dermatitis). These persons also will be enrolled in a vaccine registry for prospective follow-up.

Referring providers should complete a thorough vaccination history and physical examination on all patients with a suspected adverse event before accessing CDC's Clinician Information Line. In addition, high-resolution digital photographs of dermatological manifestations of adverse events can aid in the recognition of specific dermatological manifestations of adverse events and should be obtained with the patient's permission and forwarded whenever possible. Providers seeking assistance should first contact their state health department before accessing the CDC consultation service or requesting VIG or cidofovir ([Box 3](#)).

To aid providers in discerning the presence or severity of vaccine-related complications, CDC has developed clinical evaluation tools to assist with expected adverse events. These clinical evaluation tools are available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccination/clineval>; this website will be updated as additional information becomes available. Feedback regarding the utility of these clinical evaluation tools is requested and can be submitted by spoxtool@cdc.gov. In addition, CDC and other U.S. Department of Health and Human Services agencies will collect data related to the frequency of smallpox vaccine adverse events and the clinical outcome of affected persons. CDC will provide an update concerning the medical risks associated with smallpox vaccination and the efficacy and safety of INDs used in the treatment of adverse events.

Smallpox Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting

Providers are strongly encouraged to report serious adverse events to VAERS after the administration of the smallpox vaccine ([Box 4](#)). VAERS is a passive reporting system for safety monitoring of all vaccines licensed in the United States and is jointly managed by CDC and FDA. CDC and FDA will monitor smallpox vaccine-related adverse events daily, and will provide enhanced surveillance of adverse events after administration of the smallpox vaccine. However, adverse events that are judged to be serious or unexpected and which require CDC consultation or IND therapy (e.g., cidofovir) should not be solely reported to VAERS. These cases should instead be immediately reported by the appropriate state health department officials and CDC, who will assist the reporting provider with completing the VAERS form. All other smallpox vaccine adverse events that are serious, but do not require CDC consultation or administration of IND therapies, should be reported directly to VAERS within 48 hours of recognition. All other

events should be directly reported to VAERS within 1 week ([Box 4](#)).

Additional Information

CDC, in collaboration with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has developed a website, which is available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/training/smallpoxvaccine/reactions>. Information and photographs related to vaccination, normal vaccination reactions, adverse events after vaccination, and treatments for adverse reactions are located at this website.

Acknowledgments

The preparers are grateful for the review of staff from FDA and J. Michael Lane, M.D., formerly Director, Smallpox Eradication Program, Communicable Disease Center. In addition, the preparers acknowledge R. Dana Bradstreet, M.D., Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; Walla Dempsey, Ph.D., National Institutes of Health; Virginia Fulginiti, M.D., University of Arizona Health Sciences Center; Kathleen Fullerton, M.P.H., CDC; John D. Grais, Ph.D., U.S. Army Medical Command; D.A. Henderson, M.D., U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; M.D. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health; Niranjana Kanasa-Thanan, M.D., USAMRIID; Peter Laibson, M.D., Eye Hospital; Philip LaRussa, M.D., Columbia University College of Physicians and Surgeons; Myron Levin, M.D., University of Colorado Health Sciences Center; Todd Margolis, M.D., Ph.D., University of California at San Francisco; Leroy Marklund, USAMRIID; Deborah Pavan-Langston, M.D., Harvard Medical School; Jay Pepose, M.D., Washington University School of Medicine; Janine Smith, M.D., National Institutes of Health; James Sprague, Georgetown University School of Medicine; Carol Tackett, M.D., University of Maryland School of Medicine; Taylor, CDC; Bruce C. Tierney, M.D., CDC; and Michael Zegans, M.D., Dartmouth Medical School, for the assistance. The preparers are also grateful for the assistance of the Clinical Immunization Safety Assessment (CISA) Network, which was launched in October 2001 as a National Immunization Program (NIP) initiative designed to investigate adverse events after vaccination through intensive prospective clinical evaluations. The CISA network includes clinical research-scientists at Boston Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; New York Presbyterian-Columbia Hospital, New York, New York; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland; the University of Maryland, Baltimore, Maryland; Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee; Stanford University, Stanford, California; and Kaiser Family Foundation Vaccine Study Center, Oakland, California.

References

1. Fenner F, Henderson DA, Arita I, Jezek Z, Ladnyi ID. Smallpox and its eradication. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1988.
2. Koplan JP, Marton KI. Smallpox vaccination revisited: some observations on the biology of vaccinia. *A J Med Hyg* 1975;24: 656--63.
3. Cooney EL, Collier AC, Greenberg PD, et al. Safety of and immunological response to a recombinant vaccinia virus vaccine expressing HIV envelope glycoprotein. *Lancet* 1991;337:567--72.
4. Neff JM, Lane JM, Fulginiti VA, Henderson DA. Contact vaccinia---transmission of vaccinia from smallpox vaccination. *JAMA* 2002;288:1901--5.
5. CDC. Smallpox Response Plan and Guidelines (Version 3.0), November 2002. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2002. Available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/response-plan/index.asp>.
6. [CDC. Vaccinia \(smallpox\) vaccine: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices \(ACIP\), 2001. MMWR 2001;50\(No. RR-10\):8.](#)
7. Cherry JD, McIntosh K, Connor JD, et al. Clinical and serologic study of four smallpox vaccines compared.

- variations of dose and route of administration: primary percutaneous vaccination. *J Infect Dis* 1977;135
8. Goldstein JA, Neff JM, Lane JM, Koplan JP. Smallpox vaccination reactions, prophylaxis, and therapy complications. *Pediatrics* 1975;55:342--7.
 9. Lane JM, Ruben FL, Neff JM, Millar JD. Complications of smallpox vaccination, 1968: results of ten st surveys. *J Infect Dis* 1970;122:303--9.
 10. Frey SE, Couch RB, Tacket CO, et al. Clinical responses to undiluted and diluted smallpox vaccine. *N* 2002;347:1265--74.
 11. McIntosh K, Cherry JD, Benenson AS, et al. Clinical and serologic study of four smallpox vaccines con variations of dose and route of administration: standard percutaneous revaccination of children who rece primary percutaneous vaccination. *J Infect Dis* 1977;135: 155--66.
 12. Lane JM, Ruben FL, Neff JM, Millar JD. Complications of smallpox vaccination: national surveillance ir States, 1968. *N Engl J Med* 1969;281:1201--7.
 13. Neff JM, Lane JM, Pert JH, Moore R, Millar JD, Henderson DA. Complications of smallpox vaccinatio national survey in the United States, 1963. *N Engl J Med* 1967;276:125--32.
 14. Greaves WL, Hinman AR, Facklam RR, Allman KC, Barrett CL, Stetler HC. Streptococcal abscesses i diphtheria-tetanus toxoid-pertussis vaccination. *Pediatr Infect Dis* 1982;1:388--90.
 15. Simon PA, Chen RT, Elliott JA, Schwartz B. Outbreak of pyogenic abscesses after diphtheria and tetan and pertussis vaccination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J* 1993;12: 368--71.
 16. Stetler HC, Garbe PL, Dwyer DM, Facklam RR, et al. Outbreaks of group A streptococcal abscesses f diphtheria-tetanus toxoid-pertussis vaccination. *Pediatrics* 1985;75:299--303.
 17. Sepkowitz KA. Current concepts: how contagious is vaccinia? *N Engl J Med* 2003;348 (in press).
 18. Kistler G, Gertsch R. Smallpox vaccination and pregnancy [German]. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1970;9.
 19. Weilenga G, van Tongeren HA, Ferguson AH, van Fijesel TG. Prenatal infection with vaccinia virus. *La* 1961;1:258--60.
 20. Gurvich EB, Braginskaya VP, Shenkman LS, Sokolova AF, Davydova AV. Isolation of vaccinia virus f pharynx of children vaccinated against smallpox. *J Hyg Epidemiol Microbiol Immunol* 1974;18: 69--76.
 21. CDC. Summary of October 2002 ACIP smallpox vaccination recommendations. Atlanta, GA: US Dep: Health and Human Services, CDC, 2002. Available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccinatio recs-oct2002.asp>.
 22. Neff JM, Levine RH, Lane JM, et al. Complications of smallpox vaccination---United States 1963. II: r obtained by four statewide surveys. *Pediatrics* 1967;39:916--23.
 23. Lane JM, Ruben FL, Abrutyn E, Millar JD. Deaths attributable to smallpox vaccination, 1959 to 1966, *JAMA* 1970;212:441--4.
 24. [CDC. Contact spread of vaccinia from a recently vaccinated marine---Louisiana. MMWR 1984;33:37](#)
 25. [CDC. Epidemiologic notes and reports: contact spread of vaccinia from a National Guard vaccinee---W MMWR 1985;34:182--3.](#)
 26. CDC. Vaccinia outbreak---Newfoundland. *MMWR* 1981;30:453--5.
 27. De Clercq E. Cidofovir in the treatment of poxvirus infections. *Antiviral Res* 2002;55:1--13.
 28. CDC. Smallpox vaccine: adverse event rates, 1968. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Humar CDC, 2002. Available at <http://www.bt.cdc.gov/agent/smallpox/vaccine-safety/adverse-events-chart.as>
 29. Haim M, Gdalevich M, Mimouni D, Ashkenazi I, Shemer J. Adverse reactions to smallpox vaccine: the Defense Force experience, 1991 to 1996: a comparison with previous surveys. *Mil Med* 2000;165:287.
 30. Habif TP. *Clinical Dermatology*. 3rd ed. Mosby-Year Book, Inc., 1996.
 31. Hurwitz S. *Clinical pediatric dermatology: a textbook of skin disorders of childhood and adolescence*. 2 Philadelphia, PA: W.B. Saunders, 1993.
 32. Ruben FL, Lane JM. Ocular vaccinia: an epidemiologic analysis of 348 cases. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1970;8
 33. Pavan-Langston, D. Ocular viral diseases. In: Galasso G, Whitley R and Merigan T, eds. *Antiviral agent*

- diseases of man. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Raven Press, 1997.
34. Fulginiti VA, Winograd LA, Jackson M, Ellis P. Therapy of experimental vaccinal keratitis: effect of ido: VIG. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1965;74:539--44.
 35. Rennie AG, Cant JS, Foulds WS, Pennington TH, Timbury MC. Ocular vaccinia. *Lancet* 1974;2:273--
 36. Kaufman H, Nesburn A, Maloney E. Cure of vaccinia infection by 5-iodo-2-deoxyuridine. *Virology* 1979;99:199--209.
 37. Jack M, Sorenson R. Vaccinial keratitis treated with IDU. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1963;68:730--2.
 38. Pavan-Langston D, Dohlman CH. A double-blind clinical study of adenine arabinoside therapy of viral conjunctivitis. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1972;74:81--8.
 39. Perera C. Vaccinial disciform keratitis. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1940;24: 352--6.
 40. Ellis P, Winograd L. Ocular vaccinia: a specific treatment. *Arch Ophthalmol* 1962;68:600--9.
 41. Kempe CH. Studies on smallpox and complications of smallpox vaccination. *Pediatrics* 1960;26:176--8.
 42. Jones B, Al-Hussaini MK. Therapeutic considerations in ocular vaccinia. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc UK* 1965;85:30--31.
 43. Dixon CW. Smallpox. London, England: J&A Churchill, Ltd., 1962.
 44. Copeman PWM, Wallace HJ. Eczema vaccinatum. *Br Med J* 1964;2:906.
 45. Waddington E, Bray PT, Evans AD, Richards IDG. Cutaneous complications of mass vaccination in Scotland, 1962. *Trans St Johns Hosp Derm Soc* 1964;50:22--42.
 46. Eriksson, G, Forsbeck, M. Smallpox outbreak and vaccination problems in Stockholm, Sweden, 1963: assessment, and the vaccination, of patients with cutaneous disorders. *Acta Med Scand Suppl* 1966;464:1--10.
 47. Engler RJ, Kenner J, Leung DY. Smallpox vaccination: risk considerations for patients with atopic dermatitis. *Allergy Clin Immunol* 2002;110:357--65.
 48. Beltrani VS. Clinical features of atopic dermatitis. *Immunology and Allergy Clinics of North America* 2002;24:423--437.
 49. [CDC. Vaccinia necrosum after smallpox vaccination---Michigan. MMWR 1982;31:501--2.](#)
 50. Krugman S. Infectious Disease of Children and Adults. New York, NY: Mosby, 1973; 280.
 51. Redfield RR, Wright DC, James WD, Jones TS, Brown C, Burke DS. Disseminated vaccinia in a military recruit with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) disease. *N Engl J Med* 1987;316:673--6.
 52. Keidan SE, McCarthy K, Haworth JC. Fatal generalized vaccinia with failure of antibody production after administration of serum gamma globulin. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1953;28:110--6.
 53. De Vries E. Post vaccinial perivenous encephalitis. Amsterdam, Netherlands: Elsevier, 1960.
 54. Johnson RT. Viral infections of the nervous system. New York, NY: Raven Press, 1982.
 55. Gurvich, EB and Vilesova, IS. Vaccinia virus in postvaccinial encephalitis. *Acta Virologica*, 1983;27:15--20.
 56. Nanning, W. Prophylactic effect of antivaccinia gammaglobulin against post-vaccinial encephalitis. *Bull World Health Organ* 1962;27: 317--24.
 57. Polak MF, Beunders BJW, VanDerWerff AR, Sanders EW, VanKlaveren JN, Brans LM. A comparative study of clinical reactions observed after application of several smallpox vaccines in primary vaccination of young adults. *World Health Organ* 1963;29:311--22.
 58. Lane JM, Millar JD, Neff JM. Smallpox and smallpox vaccination policy. *Annu Rev Med* 1971;22:251--66.
 59. CDC. Smallpox vaccine: recommendations of the Public Health Service Immunization Practices Advisory Committee. *MMWR* 1978;27:156--8, 163--4.
 60. CDC. Adverse reactions to smallpox vaccination---1978. *MMWR* 1979;28:265--7.
 61. Levine MM. Live-virus vaccines in pregnancy: risks and recommendations. *Lancet* 1974;2:34--8.
 62. Greenberg M, Yankauer A, Krugman S, Osborn JJ, Ward RS, Dancis J. Effect of smallpox vaccination during pregnancy on the incidence of congenital malformations. *Pediatrics* 1949;3:456.
 63. Green DM, Reid SM, Rhaney K. Generalized vaccinia in the human foetus. *Lancet* 1966;1:1296.
 64. Harley JD, Gillespie AM. A complicated case of congenital vaccinia. *Pediatrics* 1972;50:150.

65. Cangemi VF. Acute pericarditis after smallpox vaccination. *N Engl J Med* 1958;258.
66. Finlay-Jones LR. Fatal myocarditis after vaccination against smallpox: report of a case. *N Engl J Med*;1
67. Helle EP, Koskenvuo K, Heikkila J, Pikkarainen J, Weckstrom P. Myocardial complications of immuni. *Ann Clin Res* 1978;10:280--7.
68. Moschos A, Papiroannou AC, Nicolopoulos D., Anagnostakis D. Cardiac complications after vaccinati. *smallpox. Helv Paediatr Acta* 1976;31:257--60.
69. MacAdam DB, Whitaker W. Cardiac complications after vaccination with smallpox. *British Med Journa* 1962;1099--1100.
70. Dalgaard JB. Fatal myocarditis following smallpox vaccination. Copenhagen, Denmark: Army Medical ; 1957;54.
71. Vorst EJ, Gaillard JL. Vaccinial osteomyelitis in a case of generalized intrauterine virus infection. *Pediatr* 1983;1:221--8.
72. Lupton GP. Discoid lupus erythematosus occurring in a smallpox vaccination scar. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1987;17:688--90.
73. Pujol RM, Monmany J, Bague S., Alomar A. Graves' disease presenting as localized myxoedematous in a smallpox vaccination scar. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 2000;25:132--4.
74. Spillane JD, Wells CEC. The neurology of Jennerian vaccination: a clinical account of the neurologic cor which occurred during the smallpox epidemic in South Wales in 1962. *Brain* 1964;87:1.
75. CDC. Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases. 6th ed. Waldorf, MD: Public Heal Foundation, 2000.
76. Iskander JK, Miller ER, Pless RP, Chen RT. Vaccine safety post-marketing surveillance: the Vaccine A Event Reporting System. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Immunization Program. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip/vacsafe/VAERS/CME-post-mktg-surv.pdf>
77. [CDC. Biological and chemical terrorism: strategic plan for preparedness and response. MMWR 2000;44\(RR-4\):1--14.](#)
78. Sharp JC, Fletcher WB. Experience of anti-vaccinia immunoglobulin in the United Kingdom. *Lancet* 1999;354:1099--100.
79. American Academy of Pediatrics. Passive Immunization. In: Pickering LK, ed. 2000 red book: report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 25th ed. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics, 2000;200--201.
80. Smee DF, Bailey KW, Wong MH, Sidwell RW. Effects of cidofovir on the pathogenesis of a lethal vacc respiratory infection in mice. *Antiviral Res* 2001;52:55--62.
81. Bray M, Martinez M, Smee DF, Kefauver D, Thompson E, Huggins JW. Cidofovir protects mice again: aerosol or intranasal cowpox virus challenge. *J Infect Dis* 2000;181:10--9.
82. De Clercq E. Acyclic nucleoside phosphonates in the chemotherapy of DNA virus and retrovirus infecti. *Intervirology* 1997;40: 295--303.

* An adverse reaction is an untoward effect that occurs after a vaccination and is extraneous to the vaccine's purpose of producing immunity. Adverse reactions have been demonstrated to be caused by the vaccination. Adverse reactions also are referred to as vaccine side effects or complications. In contrast, adverse events are untoward events observed or reported after vaccinations, but a causal relation between the two have yet to be established. These adverse events include both 1) adverse reactions and 2) other events associated with vaccinations only by coincidence (i.e., they would have occurred also in the absence of vaccination). This report focuses on adverse reactions known to be caused by smallpox vaccine on the basis of extensive prior experience. Additional previously unknown adverse reactions might be reported with reintroduction of smallpox vaccinations; however, whether they are causally related will require additional evaluation.

List of Abbreviations Used in This Report

ACIP	Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices
AMS	aseptic meningitis syndrome
CMV	cytomegalovirus
CNS	central nervous system
CSF	cerebral spinal fluid
DoD	U.S. Department of Defense
EM	erythema multiforme
EV	eczema vaccinatum
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
GV	generalized vaccinia
HIV/AIDS	human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
IDU	idoxuridine
IgA	immunoglobulin A
IM	intramuscular
IND	Investigational New Drug
IV	intravenous
IVIG	intravenous immune globulin
LRN	Laboratory Response Network
NIAID	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases
NSAIDS	nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory agents
NPS	National Pharmaceutical Stockpile
NYCBOH	New York City Board of Health
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
PV	progressive vaccinia
PVE	postvaccinial encephalopathy
PVEM	postvaccinial encephalomyelitis
RTs	robust takes
SJS S	tevens-Johnson syndrome
VAERS	Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System
VIG	vaccinia immune globulin
VIGIM	intramuscular vaccinia immune globulin
VIGIV	intravenous vaccinia immune globulin
WHO	World Health Organization

Table 1

TABLE 1. Smallpox vaccine adverse event rates (number per million vaccinees) — United States, 1968

	National survey		10-state survey	
	All primary (i.e., first-time) vaccinees	Vaccinees aged ≥1 yr	All primary (i.e., first-time) vaccinees	Vac age
Serious, but not life-threatening reactions				
Inadvertent inoculation	25.4	27.1	529.2	
Generalized vaccinia	23.4	17.7	241.5	
Erythema multiforme	NA*	NA	164.6	
Total number of serious, but not life-threatening reactions	48.8		935.3	
Life-threatening reactions				
Postvaccinal encephalitis/encephalomyelitis	2.9	2.4	12.3	
Progressive vaccinia (vaccinia necrosum)	0.9	1.0	1.5	
Eczema vaccinatum	10.4	10.6	38.5	
Total number of life-threatening reactions	14.2		52.3	
Deaths	1.1	0.6	1.5	

* Not available.


† None reported.

Source: CDC. Smallpox adverse event rates, 1968. Atlanta, GA: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2002. Available at <http://www.agent/smallpox/vaccine-safety/adverse-events-chart.asp>.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 1

FIGURE 1. Expected smallpox vaccination-site reaction (i.e., a take) in a first-time vaccinee, demonstrating the progression from papule (day 4) to pustule (days 7–14), to scab (day 21)



Source: CDC.

[Return to top.](#)

Box 1

- the event occurs on readministration of the vaccine (positive rechallenge); or
- a controlled clinical trial or epidemiologic study demonstrates greater risk for a specific adverse event among vaccinated versus unvaccinated (control) groups.

* **Source:** Iskander JK, Miller ER, Pless RP, Chen RT. Vaccine safety post-marketing surveillance: the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System. US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Immunization Program. Available at <http://www.cdc.gov/nip/vncaft/VAERS/CME-post-mktg-san.pdf>.

BOX 1. Evaluating side effects after vaccination: temporal versus causal associations*

An adverse event can be causally attributed to vaccine more readily if

- the exact chronology of vaccination and adverse event is known;
- the adverse event corresponds to those previously associated with a particular vaccine;
- the event conforms to a specific clinical syndrome whose association with vaccination has strong biologic plausibility (e.g., anaphylaxis);
- a laboratory result confirms the association (e.g., isolation of vaccine-strain varicella vaccine from skin lesions of a patient with rash);
- the event recurs on readministration of the vaccine (post-

[Return to top.](#)

Table 2

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No inflammation initially • Absence of inflammatory cells on histopathological examination • Inflammation weeks later • Bacterial infection might develop • Differential diagnosis: severe bacterial infection, severe chickenpox, disseminated herpes simplex, and other necrotic conditions • Prognosis: poor, despite therapy 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (AIDS), severe combined immunodeficiency syndrome (SCIDS), or hypogammaglobulinemia) • Protective level of T-cell count or humoral immunity unknown 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> therapy) • Surgical debridement of progressive necrotic lesions not proven useful
Postvaccinal encephalitis (PVE) or encephalomyelitis (PVEM)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Diagnosis of exclusion • Appears similar to postinfectious encephalomyelitis or toxic encephalopathy caused by other agents • Abrupt onset of symptoms: fever, headache, malaise, lethargy, vomiting, meningeal signs, seizures, paralysis, drowsiness, altered mental status, or coma • Age <2 years (encephalopathy): cerebral vascular changes occurring 6–10 days postvaccination • Age ≥2 years (encephalomyelitis): demyelinating changes occurring 11–15 days postvaccination • Cerebral spinal fluid (CSF): normal or nonspecific; monocytosis, lymphocytosis, or elevated protein • Prognosis: mortality, 25%; neurological sequelae, 25%; complete recovery, 50% 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age <1 year 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensive supportive care • Anticonvulsants as needed • VIG not recommended • Antiviral role unclear • Use of modern imaging studies has not been evaluated
Fetal vaccinia (FV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Incidence: rare (<50 reported cases) • Route of transmission: unknown • Outcomes: premature birth, fetal loss, high mortality • Not associated with congenital anomalies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cases in all trimesters of pregnancy • Greatest risk, third trimester 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Efficacy of VIG unknown • Antivirals not recommended
Generalized vaccinia (GV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maculopapular or vesicular rash • Onset: 6–9 days postvaccination • Nontoxic, with or without fever • Differential diagnosis: erythema multiforme (EM), varicella, inadvertent inoculation, progressive vaccinia (PV), and smallpox 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hematogenous spread • Lesions contain vaccinia • More serious among immunocompromised persons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Usually self-limited in immunocompetent persons • Infection-control precautions • VIG usually not indicated • Anti-inflammatory medications • Antipruritic medications • Antivirals usually not indicated

* See text for details.

TABLE 2. Summary of vaccinia-related adverse events*

Adverse event	Description	Risk factor or predisposition	Treatment
Eczema vaccinatum (EV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> High fever Generalized lymphadenopathy with extensive vesicular and pustular eruption Onset: concurrently or shortly after local vaccinia lesion in vaccinee, or in contacts, 5–19 days after suspected exposure Risk for secondary bacterial or fungal infections Virus recovered from lesions High mortality rate with poor prognosis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> History of eczema or atopic dermatitis irrespective of disease activity or severity Less frequently, persons without a history of dermatological conditions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prompt evaluation and diagnosis Infection-control precautions Might require multiple doses of vaccinia immune globulin (VIG) (cidofovir, second therapy) Hemodynamic support Volume and electrolyte repletion Observe for secondary infections
Progressive vaccinia (PV)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nonhealing vaccination site Painless progressive (central) necrosis at the vaccination site Occasional metastatic lesions in skin, bones, and viscera 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Humoral and cellular immunocompromise (e.g., malignancy, human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prompt evaluation and diagnosis Infection-control precautions Might require multiple doses of VIG (cidofovir second-line therapy)
Ocular vaccinia Inadvertent periorbital or ocular implantation with vaccinia virus Can range from mild to severe	<p>Keratitis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Marginal infiltration or ulceration with or without stromal haze/infiltration <p>Conjunctivitis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Hyperemia, edema, membranes, focal lesions, fever, lymphadenopathy <p>Blepharitis</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lid pustules on or near the lid margin, edema, hyperemia, lymphadenopathy, cellulitis, fever 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Manipulation of vaccination site, followed by eye rubbing More likely with conditions that cause eye itching and scratching (conjunctivitis, corneal abrasion/ulceration) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ophthalmologic consult Certain ophthalmologists consider off-label topical antiviral medications Topical prophylactic antibacterial medication for keratitis VIG for severe blepharitis and blepharoconjunctivitis (without keratitis) VIG not indicated for isolated keratitis VIG considered for keratitis with vision-threatening conditions VIG indicated for keratitis with life-threatening conditions that require
Erythema multiforme (EM) and Stevens-Johnson Syndrome (SJS)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typical bull's eye (target) lesions Hypersensitivity reaction Pruritis Onset: 10 days postvaccination Can progress to SJS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No known risk factors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Antipruritic medications VIG not indicated Hospitalization and supportive care for SJS Steroid use for SJS is controversial
Pyogenic infections of vaccination site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Uncommon Onset: 5 days postvaccination Fever not specific for bacterial infection Fluctuance at vaccination site 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> More frequent in children (touching vaccination site) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gram stain Bacterial culture Antibacterial medication clinically indicated No topical medications
Robust take (RT)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> >7.5 cm with swelling, warmth, and pain at vaccination site Fluctuant lymph nodes not expected Peak symptoms: 8–10 days postvaccination Nonprogressive Improvement in 24–72 hours 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Might be more likely among first-time vaccinees 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Observation most important Antibacterial medication not indicated Rest affected limb Antipruritic medications Anti-inflammatory medications No salves or ointments
Tape adhesive reactions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sharply demarcated raised lines of erythema that correspond to adhesive placement Local pruritis No systemic illness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sensitivity to adhesives 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No salves, ointments, or topical/oral steroids Frequent bandage changes Periodic bandage removal

* See text for details.

TABLE 2. (Continued) Summary of vaccinia-related adverse events*

Adverse event	Description	Risk factor or predisposition	Treatment
Inadvertent inoculation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Most common complication • Physical transfer of vaccinia virus from a vaccination site to second site on the vaccinee or to a close contact of vaccinee 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manipulation of vaccination site • Children aged <4 years • Conditions that disrupt the epidermis (e.g., burns, severe acne, or 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Usually self-limited • Resolution in 3 weeks • Infection-control precau • VIG if extensive body

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 2

FIGURE 2. Normal smallpox vaccination reaction (day 7 postvaccination)

Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

[Return to top.](#)

Box 2

immune globulin for treatment of smallpox vaccine-related adverse events

Recommended

- Inadvertent inoculation (severe as a result of number of lesions, toxicity of affected person or substantial pain)
- Eczema vaccinatum
- Generalized vaccinia (severe form or if underlying illness)
- Progressive vaccinia

Not recommended


- Inadvertent inoculation (not severe)
- Generalized vaccinia (mild or limited — the majority of instances)
- Nonspecific rashes, erythema multiforme, or Stevens-Johnson syndrome
- Postvaccinial encephalitis or postvaccinial encephalomyelitis

Considered

- Severe ocular complications (except isolated keratitis)

BOX 2. Summary of recommendations for using vaccinia[Return to top.](#)**Figure 3**

FIGURE 3. Normal smallpox vaccination reaction (day 12), indicating heaped up border with pustule drying from center outward



Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: Vaccination reactions among vaccinia-naïve volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers were enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Units at Saint Louis University, University of Maryland, and University of Rochester, and the Respiratory Pathogens Unit at Baylor College of Medicine in 2001.

[Return to top.](#)**Box 3****BOX 3. Contact information for requesting vaccinia immune globulin or cidofovir**

Physicians at civilian medical facilities may request vaccinia immune globulin (VIG) or cidofovir by calling CDC's Smallpox Vaccine Adverse Events Clinician Information Line at 877-554-4625. Physicians at military medical facilities may request VIG or cidofovir by calling the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) at 301-619-2257 or 888-USA-RIID.

[Return to top.](#)**Figure 4**

FIGURE 4. Example of a major reaction (i.e., a take) in a first-time smallpox vaccinee at 6 (left), 10 (middle), and 15 (right) postvaccination



Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: Vaccination reactions in vaccinia-naïve and previously vaccinated volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Unit at Saint Louis University in 2002.

[Return to top.](#)


Box 4

BOX 4. Reporting smallpox vaccine-related adverse events to the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)

Secure Internet-based VAERS reporting is available at <https://secure.vaers.org/VaersDataEntryintro.htm>. Printable VAERS forms are located online at http://www.vaers.org/pdf/vaers_form.pdf. Completed forms can be faxed to 877-721-0366 (toll free) or mailed to P.O. Box 1100, Rockville, MD 20894-1100. Additional assistance with completing forms is available at 800-822-7967 or by e-mail at info@vaers.org.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 5



Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: Vaccination reactions in vaccinia-naïve and previously vaccinated volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Unit at Saint Louis University in 2002.


FIGURE 5. Example of a major reaction in a smallpox revaccinee at 4 (top left), 8 (top middle), 10 (top right), and 15 (bottom left) days postvaccination, in contrast with an equivocal reaction (nontake) in a first-time vaccinee (bottom right)



[Return to top.](#)

Figure 6

FIGURE 6. Examples of satellite lesions: (left) satellite lesions in a vaccination-naïve patient at day 7 postvaccination; (right) additional satellite lesions



Sources: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 7

FIGURE 7. (Left) Robust take with lymphangitis; extensive erythema and induration with a linear streak posteriorly on day 9. (Right) Same patient—full view indicating vaccination site




Sources: (Left) Reproduced with permission of the Massachusetts Medical Society, ©2002; (right) National Institutes of Health.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 8

FIGURE 8. (Left) Robust take; note the extensive area of erythema. (Right) In contrast, note the impetiginous changes associated with the uncommon bacterial infection of the vaccination site



Sources: (Left) National Institutes of Health; (right) V. Fulginiti, M.D.; digital enhancement: ©Logical Images.

Note: Vaccination reactions among vaccinia-naïve volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers were enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Units at Saint Louis University, University of Maryland, and University of Rochester, and the Respiratory Pathogens Unit at Baylor College of Medicine in 2001.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 9

FIGURE 9. Erythema as a result of irritation from adhesive dressing on postvaccination day 7, two different vaccinia-naïve volunteers; (left) volunteer 1; (right) volunteer 2




Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: Vaccination reactions among vaccinia-naïve volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers were enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Units at Saint Louis University, University of Maryland, and University of Rochester, and the Respiratory Pathogens Unit at Baylor College of Medicine in 2001.

[Return to top.](#)


Figure 10



Source: Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: Vaccination reactions among vaccinia-naïve volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers were enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Units at Saint Louis University, University of Maryland, University of Rochester, and the Respiratory Pathogens Unit at Baylor College of Medicine in 2001.

FIGURE 10. Vesicle at the edge of an adhesive dressing; viral culture did not detect the presence of vaccinia virus, and the lesions did progress to a pustular stage; these lesions appear to be a secondary reaction to the dressing



[Return to top.](#)

Figure 11

FIGURE 11. Examples of nonspecific rashes; (left) an infant aged 14 months with a vaccination site on the small of his back; he has extensive erythematous patches over his entire body, except for relative sparing of the soles of the feet. (Right) Nonspecific maculopapular rash in a first-time vaccinee



Sources: (Left) Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.; (right) Reproduced with permission of Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., National Institutes of Health.

Note: (Right) Vaccination reactions among vaccinia-naïve volunteers in a clinical study of diluted Dryvax[®] smallpox vaccine; volunteers were enrolled at the NIAID-supported Vaccine Treatment and Evaluation Units at Saint Louis University, University of Maryland, and University of Rochester, and the Respiratory Pathogens Unit at Baylor College of Medicine in 2001.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 12

FIGURE 12. Hallmark bull's eye lesion of erythema multiforme above the ankle on day 8 postvaccination



Source: Reproduced with permission of the Massachusetts Medical Society, ©2002.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 13

FIGURE 13. Stevens-Johnson Syndrome approximately 2 weeks after vaccination of an infant aged 8 months; lesions are raised, circinate, and widespread



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)


Figure 14

FIGURE 14. (Left) Child aged 6 years with multiple inadvertent inoculation sites on face, which later healed without scarring. (Right) Child aged 5 years with inadvertent inoculation to bilateral lower eyelid; typical vaccinia lesions are visible




Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 15

Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.


FIGURE 15. (Top left) Palpebral autoinoculation in contact of a vaccinee. This and the next three figures demonstrate the progression and residue of severe palpebral vaccinia. This male, aged 2 years, acquired vaccinia from his mother who was vaccinated 12 days before his ocular vaccinia became apparent. He was hospitalized for 9 days and treated with vaccinia immune globulin (photograph was taken 4 days after onset of his vaccinia). (Top right) Demonstrates considerable resolution and beginning scarring of the eyelids (photograph was taken 8 days after onset). (Bottom left) Note the loss of eyelashes and the rolled-up lid margins (photograph was taken 2 weeks after onset). (Bottom right) Same child's normal contralateral eye with sharp lid margins and normal eyelashes



[Return to top.](#)

Figure 16

FIGURE 16. (Left) Acute blepharoconjunctivitis in a male aged 22 years; (right) healing blepharoconjunctivitis 14 days later




Source: Reproduced with permission of the American Journal of Ophthalmology (Photographs by Deborah Pavan-Langston, M.D.)

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 17

FIGURE 17. Acute vaccinia corneal ulcer (keratitis)




Source: Reproduced with permission of the American Journal of Ophthalmology (Photographs by Deborah Pavan-Langston, M.D.)

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 18

FIGURE 18. Residual vaccinia corneal scarring and low-grade immune keratitis (inflammation of the cornea)




Source: Reproduced with permission of the American Journal of Ophthalmology (Photographs by Deborah Pavan-Langston, M.D.)

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 19

FIGURE 19. (Left) Severe vaccinia blepharoconjunctivitis; (right) same eye indicating healing vaccinia blepharoconjunctivitis on day 10



Source: Reproduced with permission of the American Journal of Ophthalmology (Photographs by Deborah Pavan-Langston, M.D.)

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 20

FIGURE 20. Generalized vaccinia with a substantial erythematous base in an infant; note the vaccination site at the left axilla and the apparently well child




Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 21

FIGURE 21. The lesions of generalized vaccinia can be difficult to distinguish from variola (smallpox) infection; generalized vaccinia does not follow the centrifugal distribution that is characteristic of smallpox lesions



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 22


FIGURE 22. Generalized vaccinia in an apparently normal child; the child recovered without sequelae



Source: CDC (photo used previously courtesy of John M. Leedom, M.D.)


[Return to top.](#)

Figure 23



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

FIGURE 23. Regional generalized vaccinia characterized by an extensive halo of vesiculation around the vaccination site. This differs from satellite lesions because of the number and extent of vesiculation



[Return to top.](#)

Figure 24


FIGURE 24. (Top left) A woman aged 22 years with eczema vaccinia acquired from a close contact. She became critically ill, with nearly total involvement of her body, and required thiosemicarbazones, as well as substantial doses of vaccinia immune globulin; (right) side view; (bottom left) residual scarring after resolution of systemic illness



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)**Figure 25**


FIGURE 25. (Left) Face of a previously unvaccinated woman, aged 27 years, with moderately severe eczema vaccinatum 8 days after vaccination. The lesions with confluence and umbilication are typical of vaccinia. **(Middle)** Three days later, immediately after initiation of treatment with vaccinia immune globulin (VIG). She has marked edema of the face, exudation, crusting, and confluence of the lesions. **(Right)** Fourteen days after vaccination and 3 days after treatment with VIG, with marked resolution of her lesions and limited pitting and scarring



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)**Figure 26**

contact. She was extremely ill and hospitalized for 2 weeks. The lesions indicated typical umbilication and developed similarly to the lesions of normal primary vaccination. Despite vigorous therapy with vaccinia immune globulin and careful fluid and electrolyte balance, she had extensive residual scarring after recovering



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

FIGURE 26. Lesions of eczema vaccinatum in a girl, aged 3 years, who acquired vaccinia from a recently vaccinated close

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 27

FIGURE 27. Severe eczema vaccinatum in a male, aged 13 months, who acquired vaccinia from a recently vaccinated contact. He died despite treatment with vaccinia immune globulin, steroids, transfusions, and antibiotics



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 28

FIGURE 28. Male with progressive vaccinia; note the extensive involvement with minimal inflammation



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 29

FIGURE 29. Mild and nonfatal atypical case of vaccinia necrosum in a male, aged 64 years, who had a reduction of immunoglobulin G, A, and M, with a lymphoma. In addition to the large, necrotic vaccination site, he had a metastatic lesion on his wrist. His lesions healed after a 2-month course and extensive therapy with vaccinia immune globulin



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 30

mistaken for progressive vaccinia (child with large ulcer at the vaccination site, 9 days after vaccination). The lesion is larger than the majority of cases of progressive vaccinia at 9 days. It is distinguished by the well-demarcated, heaped up inflamed border, and extensive surrounding areas of redness and tenderness, and should not be confused with indolent painless early progressive vaccinia




Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

FIGURE 30. Enlarged vaccination site that should not be
~~mistaken for progressive vaccinia (filled with large ulcer at~~
[Return to top.](#)

Figure 31

FIGURE 31. Ulceration of vaccination site, not to be mistaken for progressive vaccinia (unusually severe ulcer after first-time vaccination). This lesion is well-circumscribed and does not have vaccinial vesicles at its margin. Of importance is that this patient did not have an underlying immunologic disease



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 32

on her face, neck, and chest and the progression of the vaccination site




Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

FIGURE 32. Progressive vaccinia in a woman, aged 62 years, with chronic lymphocytic leukemia. Note the distant lesions

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 33

FIGURE 33. Fetal vaccinia in a premature infant, 28 week's gestation. Mother received vaccination at 23 week's gestation. The infant died at age 8 days, and vaccinia was isolated from the placenta



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Figure 34

FIGURE 34. Fetal vaccinia scars in an otherwise healthy infant who was born at approximately 32 week's gestation. The child did well, and reports indicated normal development. Mother was vaccinated at 2 month's gestation



Source: Reproduced with permission of J. Michael Lane, M.D.

[Return to top.](#)

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of pages found at these sites. URL addresses listed in *MMWR* were current as of the date of publication.

Disclaimer All *MMWR* HTML versions of articles are electronic conversions from ASCII text into HTML. The conversion may have resulted in character translation or format errors in the HTML version. Users should not rely on the HTML document, but are referred to the electronic PDF version and/or the original *MMWR* paper copy for the text, figures, and tables. An original paper copy of this issue can be obtained from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC 20402-9371; telephone: (202) 512-1800. Contact GPO for current prices.

**Questions or messages regarding errors in formatting should be addressed to mmwrq@cdc.gov.

Page converted: 1/23/2003

[Print Help](#)

[MMWR Home](#) | [MMWR Search](#) | [Help](#) | [Contact Us](#)

[CDC Home](#) | [Search](#) | [Health Topics A-Z](#)

This page last reviewed 1/23/2003

[Centers for Disease Control and Prevention](#)
Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report